Symmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-commutative Quantum Mechanics

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Concordia University Montréal, Québec, CANADA H3G 1M8

and

Institute for Mathematical Research (INSPEM) Universitii Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia

stali@mathstat.concordia.ca

Asia-Pacific Conference and Workshop in Quantum Information Science 2012

Putrajaya, Malaysia

Dec. 4, 2012

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ColSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comr

Dec. 4, 2012 1 / 31

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• Work done together with a student, S.H.H. Chowdhury

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

э

2 / 31

Dec. 4, 2012

- Work done together with a student, S.H.H. Chowdhury
- arXiv:1208.3861v1 [math-ph] 19 Aug 2012

Dec. 4, 2012

Abstract

In the two-dimensional version of non-commutative quantum mechanics, in addition to the standard non-commutativity of the conjugate position and momentum observables, the two spatial variables also become non-commutative. This reflects the belief that at very short distances, the structure of space is rather different from that at larger scales. This of course leads to a large number of questions on the nature of localizability of systems in space and even on the very nature of quantization itself. In this talk we attempt to analyze the group theoretical structure of such theories. In particular we shall show that just as standard quantum mechanics can be obtained by a central extension of the (2 + 1)-Galilei group or of the translation group \mathbb{R}^4 , non-commutative quantum mechanics can also be obtained by additional central extnesions of these groups. Using the coherent states of these doubly extended groups we shall show that a coherent state quantization of the classical phase space leads exactly to non-commutative quantum mechanics.



(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comm

E▶ < E▶ E ∽ Q (~ Dec. 4, 2012 4 / 31

・ロト ・個ト ・モト ・モト





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ

4 / 31

Dec. 4, 2012



2 Noncommutative quantum mechanics

3 Noncommutative quantum mechanics in the two-plane and the (2+1)-Galilei group

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

-

Dec. 4, 2012

4 / 31

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comr



2 Noncommutative quantum mechanics

3 Noncommutative quantum mechanics in the two-plane and the (2+1)-Galilei group

4 Quantization using coherent states associated to non-commutative quantum mechanics

Dec. 4. 2012

4 / 31

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comm



- 2 Noncommutative quantum mechanics
- 3 Noncommutative quantum mechanics in the two-plane and the (2+1)-Galilei group
- Quantization using coherent states associated to non-commutative quantum mechanics
- ${\color{black}{5}}$ Central extensions of the abelian group of translations in \mathbb{R}^4 and noncommutative quantum mechanics

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・

Dec. 4, 2012

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days.

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days. The thinking here is that a modification of ordinary quantum mechanics is needed to model physical space-time at very short distances.

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days. The thinking here is that a modification of ordinary quantum mechanics is needed to model physical space-time at very short distances.

This modification is brought about by a modification of the canonical commutation relations of quantum mechanics.

A B > A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dec. 4. 2012

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days. The thinking here is that a modification of ordinary quantum mechanics is needed to model physical space-time at very short distances.

This modification is brought about by a modification of the canonical commutation relations of quantum mechanics.

In this talk we shall look at a certain version of noncommutative quantum mechanics for a system with two degrees of freedom.

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days. The thinking here is that a modification of ordinary quantum mechanics is needed to model physical space-time at very short distances.

This modification is brought about by a modification of the canonical commutation relations of quantum mechanics.

In this talk we shall look at a certain version of noncommutative quantum mechanics for a system with two degrees of freedom.

Standard quantum mechanics is governed by the commutation relations

$$[Q_i, P_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} I, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{2.1}$$

Here the Q_i, P_j are the quantum mechanical position and momentum observables, respectively.

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Noncommutative quantum mechanics is a popular topic among physicists these days. The thinking here is that a modification of ordinary quantum mechanics is needed to model physical space-time at very short distances.

This modification is brought about by a modification of the canonical commutation relations of quantum mechanics.

In this talk we shall look at a certain version of noncommutative quantum mechanics for a system with two degrees of freedom.

Standard quantum mechanics is governed by the commutation relations

$$[Q_i, P_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} I, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{2.1}$$

Here the Q_i, P_j are the quantum mechanical position and momentum observables, respectively. In non-commutative quantum mechanics one imposes the additional commutation relation

$$[Q_1, Q_2] = i\vartheta I, \tag{2.2}$$

Dec. 4. 2012

5 / 31

 ϑ is a small, positive parameter which measures the additionally introduced noncommutativity between the observables of the two spatial coordinates.

The limit $\vartheta = 0$ then corresponds to standard (two-dimensional) quantum mechanics.

The limit $\vartheta = 0$ then corresponds to standard (two-dimensional) quantum mechanics. One could continue by also making the two momenta noncommuting:

$$[P_i, P_j] = i\gamma \epsilon_{ij}I, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{22} = 0, \ \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1, \qquad (2.3)$$

→ 得 → → ヨ →

Dec. 4. 2012

6 / 31

where γ is yet another positive parameter.

The limit $\vartheta = 0$ then corresponds to standard (two-dimensional) quantum mechanics. One could continue by also making the two momenta noncommuting:

$$[P_i, P_j] = i\gamma \epsilon_{ij}I, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{22} = 0, \ \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1, \qquad (2.3)$$

A B > A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dec. 4. 2012

6 / 31

where γ is yet another positive parameter. Physically, such a commutator would mean that there is a magnetic field in the system.

The limit $\vartheta = 0$ then corresponds to standard (two-dimensional) quantum mechanics. One could continue by also making the two momenta noncommuting:

$$[P_i, P_j] = i\gamma \epsilon_{ij}I, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{22} = 0, \ \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1, \qquad (2.3)$$

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dec. 4. 2012

6 / 31

where γ is yet another positive parameter. Physically, such a commutator would mean that there is a magnetic field in the system.

The Q_i and P_i , i = 1, 2, satisfying the modified commutation relations (2.2) and (2.3) can be written in terms of the standard quantum mechanical position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i , i = 1, 2, with

$$[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij}, \quad [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0$$

(taking $\hbar = 1$) via a non-canonical transformation.

The limit $\vartheta = 0$ then corresponds to standard (two-dimensional) quantum mechanics. One could continue by also making the two momenta noncommuting:

$$[P_i, P_j] = i\gamma \epsilon_{ij}I, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{22} = 0, \ \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1, \qquad (2.3)$$

where γ is yet another positive parameter. Physically, such a commutator would mean that there is a magnetic field in the system.

The Q_i and P_i , i = 1, 2, satisfying the modified commutation relations (2.2) and (2.3) can be written in terms of the standard quantum mechanical position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i , i = 1, 2, with

$$[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij}, \quad [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0$$

(taking $\hbar = 1$) via a non-canonical transformation. For example, one possibility is

$$Q_{1} = \hat{q}_{1} - \frac{\vartheta}{2}\hat{p}_{2} \qquad P_{1} = c\hat{p}_{1} + d\hat{q}_{2} \qquad c = \frac{1}{2}(1\pm\sqrt{\kappa}), \quad d = \frac{1}{\vartheta}(1\mp\sqrt{\kappa})$$
$$Q_{2} = \hat{q}_{2} + \frac{\vartheta}{2}\hat{p}_{1} \qquad P_{2} = c\hat{p}_{2} - d\hat{q}_{1} \qquad \kappa = 1 - \gamma\vartheta, \quad \gamma \neq \frac{1}{\vartheta} \qquad (2.4)$$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

In matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 0 \\ -d & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \hat{q}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.5)

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comm

E → ▲ E → ▲ → へへ
Dec. 4, 2012 7 / 31

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

In matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 0 \\ -d & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \hat{q}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.5)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

Dec. 4, 2012

7 / 31

which manifestly is not a symplectic transformation.

In matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 0 \\ -d & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \hat{q}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.5)

Dec. 4. 2012

7 / 31

which manifestly is not a symplectic transformation.

One can then, for example, compute the spectra of physical Hamiltonians, written in terms of these non-commuting operators and thus study the effect of such noncommutativity.

In matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 0 \\ -d & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \hat{q}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.5)

which manifestly is not a symplectic transformation.

One can then, for example, compute the spectra of physical Hamiltonians, written in terms of these non-commuting operators and thus study the effect of such noncommutativity.

A simple example could be a harmonic oscillator type Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\alpha P_i^2 + \beta Q_i^2 \right] , \qquad \alpha, \beta > 0 , \qquad (2.6)$$

▲ @ ▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

Dec. 4, 2012

In matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\vartheta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & d & c & 0 \\ -d & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{q}_1 \\ \hat{q}_2 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.5)

which manifestly is not a symplectic transformation.

One can then, for example, compute the spectra of physical Hamiltonians, written in terms of these non-commuting operators and thus study the effect of such noncommutativity.

A simple example could be a harmonic oscillator type Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\alpha P_i^2 + \beta Q_i^2 \right] , \qquad \alpha, \beta > 0 , \qquad (2.6)$$

which, in terms of the \hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_i looks like

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\left(\alpha + \beta d^2 \right) \hat{q}_i^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha \vartheta^2}{4} + \beta c^2 \right) \hat{p}_i^2 \right] + \left(\vartheta + 2cd \right) \left(\hat{p}_1 \hat{q}_2 - \hat{p}_2 \hat{q}_1 \right).$$

Dec. 4, 2012 7 / 31

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) (\hat{p}_{1}\hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2}\hat{q}_{1}).$$

Dec. 4. 2012

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) \left(\hat{p}_{1} \hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2} \hat{q}_{1} \right).$$

Dec. 4, 2012

8 / 31

The question that immediately arises is:

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) \left(\hat{p}_{1} \hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2} \hat{q}_{1} \right).$$

Dec. 4, 2012

8 / 31

The question that immediately arises is:

Is non-commutative quantum mechanics really different from standard quantum mechanics?

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) \left(\hat{p}_{1} \hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2} \hat{q}_{1} \right).$$

The question that immediately arises is:

Is non-commutative quantum mechanics really different from standard quantum mechanics?

After all, haven't we only defined the new operators Q_i , P_i as linear combinations of the standard position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i ?

Dec. 4. 2012

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) \left(\hat{p}_{1} \hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2} \hat{q}_{1} \right).$$

The question that immediately arises is:

Is non-commutative quantum mechanics really different from standard quantum mechanics?

After all, haven't we only defined the new operators Q_i , P_i as linear combinations of the standard position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i ?

The operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i , together with the identity operator I generate the Lie algebra of the Weyl-Heisenberg group (the group of the CCR)

A B > A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dec. 4. 2012

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) \left(\hat{p}_{1} \hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2} \hat{q}_{1} \right).$$

The question that immediately arises is:

Is non-commutative quantum mechanics really different from standard quantum mechanics?

After all, haven't we only defined the new operators Q_i , P_i as linear combinations of the standard position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i ?

The operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i , together with the identity operator I generate the Lie algebra of the Weyl-Heisenberg group (the group of the CCR)

and so also must the operators Q_i , P_i and I, since they only form a different basis in this same Lie algebra.

which is a Hamiltonian for two coupled harmonic oscillators, with a an angular momentum-like coupling term.

$$H_{C} = (\vartheta + 2cd) (\hat{p}_{1}\hat{q}_{2} - \hat{p}_{2}\hat{q}_{1}).$$

The question that immediately arises is:

Is non-commutative quantum mechanics really different from standard quantum mechanics?

After all, haven't we only defined the new operators Q_i , P_i as linear combinations of the standard position and momentum operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i ?

The operators \hat{q}_i , \hat{p}_i , together with the identity operator I generate the Lie algebra of the Weyl-Heisenberg group (the group of the CCR)

and so also must the operators Q_i , P_i and I, since they only form a different basis in this same Lie algebra.

We do quantum mechanics with \hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_i and also with

$$a_i = rac{q_i + ip_i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad a_i^\dagger = rac{q_i - ip_i}{\sqrt{2}}$$

(日) (四) (日) (日)

Dec. 4. 2012

8 / 31

and still get the same quantum mechanics!

We could also ask:

э

ъ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator?

イロト イポト イヨト イ

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0 ,$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Dec. 4. 2012

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0$$
,

A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dec. 4. 2012

9 / 31

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory.

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0$$
,

(ロト (得) (ヨト)

Dec. 4. 2012

9 / 31

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory. Additionally, we shall construct coherent states associated to these groups and do a quantization of the underlying phase space observables using these coherent states.

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0$$
,

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory. Additionally, we shall construct coherent states associated to these groups and do a quantization of the underlying phase space observables using these coherent states. It will turn out that the observables so quantized do have the correct commutation relations,

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0$$
,

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory. Additionally, we shall construct coherent states associated to these groups and do a quantization of the underlying phase space observables using these coherent states. It will turn out that the observables so quantized do have the correct commutation relations, i.e., those of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Dec. 4. 2012

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0 \; ,$$

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory. Additionally, we shall construct coherent states associated to these groups and do a quantization of the underlying phase space observables using these coherent states. It will turn out that the observables so quantized do have the correct commutation relations, i.e., those of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

The conclusion to be drawn from such an analysis is that non-commutative quantum mechanics, at least at this level, can be understood in terms of a modified underlying symmetry group,

Dec. 4. 2012

We could also ask:

In what sense is Q_i a position operator or P_i a momentum operator? To get an answer we take $\gamma = 0$, i.e.,

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0 \; ,$$

and look at some possible group theoretical underpinnings of such a quantum theory. Additionally, we shall construct coherent states associated to these groups and do a quantization of the underlying phase space observables using these coherent states. It will turn out that the observables so quantized do have the correct commutation relations, i.e., those of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

The conclusion to be drawn from such an analysis is that non-commutative quantum mechanics, at least at this level, can be understood in terms of a modified underlying symmetry group, which is larger than the Weyl-Heisenberg group.

The (2+1)-Galilei group G_{Gal} is a six-parameter Lie group. It is the kinematical group of a classical, non-relativistic space-time having two spatial and one time dimensions.

< □
 < □

The (2+1)-Galilei group G_{Gal} is a six-parameter Lie group. It is the kinematical group of a classical, non-relativistic space-time having two spatial and one time dimensions. It consists of time translations *b*, space translations *a*, rotations *R* of the two-dimensional space and velocity boosts **v**.

The (2+1)-Galilei group G_{Gal} is a six-parameter Lie group. It is the kinematical group of a classical, non-relativistic space-time having two spatial and one time dimensions.

It consists of time translations b, space translations \mathbf{a} , rotations R of the two-dimensional space and velocity boosts \mathbf{v} .

Under its action the space-time point (x, t) transforms as

$$\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}' = R\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}t, \qquad t \longrightarrow t' = t + b$$

Dec. 4. 2012

The (2+1)-Galilei group G_{Gal} is a six-parameter Lie group. It is the kinematical group of a classical, non-relativistic space-time having two spatial and one time dimensions.

It consists of time translations b, space translations \mathbf{a} , rotations R of the two-dimensional space and velocity boosts \mathbf{v} .

Under its action the space-time point (x, t) transforms as

$$\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}' = R\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}t, \qquad t \longrightarrow t' = t + b$$

Denoting group elements of $G_{G_{al}}$ by g, g', the group multiplication law is then then seen to be

$$(R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}) \cdot (R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}') = (RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b')$$
 .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

The (2+1)-Galilei group G_{Gal} is a six-parameter Lie group. It is the kinematical group of a classical, non-relativistic space-time having two spatial and one time dimensions.

It consists of time translations b, space translations \mathbf{a} , rotations R of the two-dimensional space and velocity boosts \mathbf{v} .

Under its action the space-time point (x, t) transforms as

$$\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}' = R\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}t, \qquad t \longrightarrow t' = t + b$$

Denoting group elements of $G_{G_{al}}$ by g, g', the group multiplication law is then then seen to be

$$(R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}) \cdot (R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}') = (RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b')$$
.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}_{Gal} of this group has the form

$$[M, N_i] = \epsilon_{ij}N_j \qquad [M, P_i] = \epsilon_{ij}P_j$$

$$[H, P_i] = 0 \qquad [M, H] = 0$$

$$[N_i, N_j] = 0 \qquad [P_i, P_j] = 0$$

$$[N_i, P_j] = 0 \qquad [N_i, H] = P_i. \qquad (3.1)$$

$$(Department of Mathematics and Statistics CoSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comp \qquad Dec. 4, 2012 \qquad 10 / 31$$

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

A A A

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

It is well-known that non-relativistic quantum mechanics arises from representations of a single central extension of the Galilei group.

Dec. 4. 2012

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

It is well-known that non-relativistic quantum mechanics arises from representations of a single central extension of the Galilei group.

This extension generates mass and replaces the vanishing commutator between N_i and P_i by

 $[N_i, P_j] = \mathfrak{m} \delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathfrak{m} > 0.$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Dec. 4. 2012

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

It is well-known that non-relativistic quantum mechanics arises from representations of a single central extension of the Galilei group.

This extension generates mass and replaces the vanishing commutator between N_i and P_i by

$$[N_i, P_j] = \mathfrak{m}\delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathfrak{m} > 0.$$

Dec. 4. 2012

11 / 31

Thus we will be concerned here with the centrally extended (2+1)-Galilei group.

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

It is well-known that non-relativistic quantum mechanics arises from representations of a single central extension of the Galilei group.

This extension generates mass and replaces the vanishing commutator between N_i and P_i by

$$[N_i, P_j] = \mathfrak{m}\delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathfrak{m} > 0.$$

Thus we will be concerned here with the centrally extended (2+1)-Galilei group. Interestingly, Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}_{Gal} of the group G_{Gal} has in fact a three dimensional vector space of central extensions. This extended algebra has the following Lie bracket structure,

$[M, N_i] = \epsilon_{ij} N_j$	$[M, P_i] = \epsilon_{ij} P_j$	
$[H,P_i]=0$	$[M,H]=\mathfrak{h}$	
$[N_i, N_j] = \epsilon_{ij} \mathfrak{d}$	$[P_i,P_j]=0$	
$[N_i, P_j] = \delta_{ij}\mathfrak{m}$	$[N_i,H]=P_i.$	(3.2)

A (20) A (20) A

Here *M* is the generator of rotations, N_i , i = 1, 2 of the two velocity boosts, P_i , i = 1, 2 of space translations and *H* of time translations.

It is well-known that non-relativistic quantum mechanics arises from representations of a single central extension of the Galilei group.

This extension generates mass and replaces the vanishing commutator between N_i and P_i by

$$[N_i, P_j] = \mathfrak{m} \delta_{ij}, \qquad \mathfrak{m} > 0.$$

Thus we will be concerned here with the centrally extended (2+1)-Galilei group. Interestingly, Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}_{Gal} of the group G_{Gal} has in fact a three dimensional vector space of central extensions. This extended algebra has the following Lie bracket structure,

$[M, N_i] = \epsilon_{ij} N_j$	$[M, P_i] = \epsilon_{ij} P_j$	
$[H,P_i]=0$	$[M,H]=\mathfrak{h}$	
$[N_i, N_j] = \epsilon_{ij} \mathfrak{d}$	$[P_i,P_j]=0$	
$[N_i, P_j] = \delta_{ij}\mathfrak{m}$	$[N_i,H]=P_i.$	(3.2)

Dec. 4. 2012

11 / 31

The three central extensions are characterized by the three central generators $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{d}$ and \mathfrak{m} (they commute with each other and all the other generators).

Passing to the group level, the universal covering group \widetilde{G}_{Gal} , of G_{Gal} , has three central extensions, as expected. However, G_{Gal} itself has only two central extensions (i.e., $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, identically).

A (10)

Dec. 4. 2012

Passing to the group level, the universal covering group \tilde{G}_{Gal} , of G_{Gal} , has three central extensions, as expected. However, G_{Gal} itself has only two central extensions (i.e., $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, identically).

We shall denote this 2-fold centrally extended (2 + 1)-Galilei group by G_{Gal}^{ext} and its Lie algebra by \mathfrak{G}_{Gal}^{ext} .

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

Dec. 4. 2012

Passing to the group level, the universal covering group \tilde{G}_{Gal} , of G_{Gal} , has three central extensions, as expected. However, G_{Gal} itself has only two central extensions (i.e., $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, identically).

We shall denote this 2-fold centrally extended (2 + 1)-Galilei group by G_{Gal}^{ext} and its Lie algebra by \mathfrak{G}_{Gal}^{ext} .

A generic element of $G_{\text{cal}}^{\text{ext}}$ may be written as $g = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}) = (\theta, \phi, r)$, where $\theta, \phi \in \mathbb{R}$, are phase terms corresponding to the two central extensions, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ a time-translation, R is a 2 × 2 rotation matrix, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a 2-velocity boost, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a 2-dimensional space translation and $r = (R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})$.

(III) (III) (III) (III)

Dec. 4. 2012

Passing to the group level, the universal covering group \widetilde{G}_{Gal} , of G_{Gal} , has three central extensions, as expected. However, G_{Gal} itself has only two central extensions (i.e., $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, identically).

We shall denote this 2-fold centrally extended (2 + 1)-Galilei group by G_{Gal}^{ext} and its Lie algebra by \mathfrak{G}_{Gal}^{ext} .

A generic element of G_{Gal}^{ext} may be written as $g = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a}) = (\theta, \phi, r)$, where $\theta, \phi \in \mathbb{R}$, are phase terms corresponding to the two central extensions, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ a time-translation, R is a 2 × 2 rotation matrix, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a 2-velocity boost, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a 2-dimensional space translation and $r = (R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})$.

The two central extensions are given by two cocycles, ξ_m^1 and ξ_{λ}^2 , depending on the two real parameters *m* and λ . Explicitly, these are,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_m^1(r; r') &= e^{\frac{im}{2}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}'-\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{R}\mathbf{a}'+b'\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}')},\\ \xi_\lambda^2(r; r') &= e^{\frac{i\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{v}\wedge\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}')}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{q}\wedge\mathbf{p} = q_1p_2 - q_2p_1, \end{aligned}$$
(3.3)

 $(\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2), \ \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2)).$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

The group multiplication rule is given by

$$gg' = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})(\theta', \phi', R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}')$$

$$= (\theta + \theta' + \xi_m^1(r; r'), \phi + \phi' + \xi_\lambda^2(r; r'),$$

$$RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b'). \qquad (3.4)$$

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comm

The group multiplication rule is given by

į

$$gg' = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})(\theta', \phi', R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}')$$

$$= (\theta + \theta' + \xi_m^1(r; r'), \phi + \phi' + \xi_\lambda^2(r; r'),$$

$$RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b'). \qquad (3.4)$$

Dec. 4. 2012

13 / 31

The unitary irreducible representations of G_{cal}^{ext} . For $m \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, are realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ and are characterized by ordered pairs (m, ϑ) of reals and by the number s, expressed as an integral multiple of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$.

The group multiplication rule is given by

ź

$$gg' = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})(\theta', \phi', R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}')$$

$$= (\theta + \theta' + \xi_m^1(r; r'), \phi + \phi' + \xi_\lambda^2(r; r'),$$

$$RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b'). \qquad (3.4)$$

The unitary irreducible representations of G_{cal}^{ext} . For $m \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, are realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ and are characterized by ordered pairs (m, ϑ) of reals and by the number *s*, expressed as an integral multiple of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$. The operator representation of \mathfrak{G}_{cal}^{ext} looks like

$$\begin{split} [\hat{M}, \hat{N}_i] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\hat{N}_j & [\hat{M}, \hat{P}_i] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\hat{P}_j \\ [\hat{H}, \hat{P}_i] &= 0 & [\hat{M}, \hat{H}] &= 0 \\ [\hat{N}_i, \hat{N}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\lambda\hat{l} & [\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j] &= 0 \\ [\hat{N}_i, \hat{P}_j] &= i\delta_{ij}m\hat{l} & [\hat{N}_i, \hat{H}] &= i\hat{P}_i . \end{split}$$
(3.5)

The group multiplication rule is given by

$$gg' = (\theta, \phi, R, b, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a})(\theta', \phi', R', b', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a}')$$

$$= (\theta + \theta' + \xi_m^1(r; r'), \phi + \phi' + \xi_\lambda^2(r; r'),$$

$$RR', b + b', \mathbf{v} + R\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{a} + R\mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{v}b'). \qquad (3.4)$$

The unitary irreducible representations of G_{cal}^{ext} . For $m \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, are realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ and are characterized by ordered pairs (m, ϑ) of reals and by the number s, expressed as an integral multiple of $\frac{\hbar}{2}$. The operator representation of $\mathfrak{G}_{cal}^{\text{ext}}$ looks like

$$\begin{split} [\hat{M}, \hat{N}_i] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\hat{N}_j & [\hat{M}, \hat{P}_i] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\hat{P}_j \\ [\hat{H}, \hat{P}_i] &= 0 & [\hat{M}, \hat{H}] &= 0 \\ [\hat{N}_i, \hat{N}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}\lambda\hat{l} & [\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j] &= 0 \\ \hat{N}_i, \hat{P}_j] &= i\delta_{ij}m\hat{l} & [\hat{N}_i, \hat{H}] &= i\hat{P}_i . \end{split}$$
(3.5)

Here the operators \hat{N}_i generate velocity shifts. The other operators \hat{P}_i , \hat{M} , \hat{H} , and \hat{I} are just the linear momentum, angular momentum, energy and the identity operators.

Consider next the so-called two-dimensional noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group, or the group of noncommutative quantum mechanics. The group generators are the operators Q_i , P_j and I, obeying the commutation relations (2.1).

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Dec. 4. 2012

Consider next the so-called two-dimensional noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group, or the group of noncommutative quantum mechanics. The group generators are the operators Q_i , P_j and I, obeying the commutation relations (2.1). Realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ (coordinate representation) these operators can be brought into the form

$$\tilde{Q}_{1} = x + \frac{i\vartheta}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \qquad \tilde{Q}_{2} = y - \frac{i\vartheta}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\tilde{P}_{1} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \tilde{P}_{2} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$
(3.6)

Dec. 4. 2012

(

Consider next the so-called two-dimensional noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group, or the group of noncommutative quantum mechanics. The group generators are the operators Q_i , P_j and I, obeying the commutation relations (2.1). Realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ (coordinate representation) these operators can be brought into the form

$$\tilde{Q}_{1} = x + \frac{i\vartheta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \qquad \tilde{Q}_{2} = y - \frac{i\vartheta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
$$\tilde{P}_{1} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \tilde{P}_{2} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$
(3.6)

If we add to this set the Hamiltonian (corresponding to a mass m)

$$\tilde{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}), \qquad (3.7)$$

the angular momentum operator,

$$\tilde{M} = -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$
(3.8)

(Department of Mathematics and Statistics ConSymmetry Groups of Two-dimensional Non-comm

э

ъ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

the angular momentum operator,

$$\tilde{M} = -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$
(3.8)

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

Dec. 4. 2012

15 / 31

and furthermore, define $\tilde{N}_i = m\tilde{Q}_i$, i = 1, 2, then the resulting set of seven operators is easily seen to obey the commutation relations

the angular momentum operator,

$$\tilde{M} = -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$
(3.8)

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

Dec. 4. 2012

15 / 31

and furthermore, define $\tilde{N}_i = m\tilde{Q}_i$, i = 1, 2, then the resulting set of seven operators is easily seen to obey the commutation relations

$$\begin{split} [\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{N}_j & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{P}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{P}_j \\ [\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}_i] &= 0 & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{H}] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{N}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}m^2\vartheta\tilde{I} & [\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= i\hbar\delta_{ij}m\tilde{I} & [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{H}] &= i\hbar\tilde{P}_i. \end{split}$$
(3.9)

the angular momentum operator,

$$\tilde{M} = -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$
(3.8)

and furthermore, define $\tilde{N}_i = m\tilde{Q}_i$, i = 1, 2, then the resulting set of seven operators is easily seen to obey the commutation relations

$$\begin{split} [\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{N}_j & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{P}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{P}_j \\ [\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}_i] &= 0 & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{H}] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{N}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}m^2\vartheta\tilde{I} & [\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= i\hbar\delta_{ij}m\tilde{I} & [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{H}] &= i\hbar\tilde{P}_i. \end{split}$$
(3.9)

(III) (III) (III) (III)

Dec. 4. 2012

15 / 31

Taking $\hbar = 1$ and writing $\lambda = m^2 \vartheta$ this becomes exactly the same set of commutation relations as that in (3.5) of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{G}_{cal}^{ext} . of the extended Galilei group.

the angular momentum operator,

$$\tilde{M} = -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right).$$
(3.8)

and furthermore, define $\tilde{N}_i = m\tilde{Q}_i$, i = 1, 2, then the resulting set of seven operators is easily seen to obey the commutation relations

$$\begin{split} [\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{N}_j & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{P}_i] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}\tilde{P}_j \\ [\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}_i] &= 0 & [\tilde{M}, \tilde{H}] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{N}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}m^2\vartheta\tilde{l} & [\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= 0 \\ [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{P}_j] &= i\hbar\delta_{ij}m\tilde{l} & [\tilde{N}_i, \tilde{H}] &= i\hbar\tilde{P}_i. \end{split}$$
(3.9)

Taking $\hbar = 1$ and writing $\lambda = m^2 \vartheta$ this becomes exactly the same set of commutation relations as that in (3.5) of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathsf{Gal}}^\mathsf{ext}$. of the extended Galilei group. This tells us that the kinematical group of non-relativistic, noncommutative quantum mechanics is the (2+1)-Galilei $G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^\mathsf{ext}$, with two extensions, a fact which has already been noted before by several authors.

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

Dec. 4. 2012

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{artheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{artheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Dec. 4, 2012

16 / 31

The above transformation is linear and invertible and may be thought of as giving a non-canonical transformation on the underlying phase space. Since $\tilde{Q}_i = \hat{q}_i \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$, the noncommutativity of the two-plane is lost if the parameter ϑ is turned off.

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{artheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{artheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dec. 4. 2012

16 / 31

The above transformation is linear and invertible and may be thought of as giving a non-canonical transformation on the underlying phase space. Since $\tilde{Q}_i = \hat{q}_i \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$, the noncommutativity of the two-plane is lost if the parameter ϑ is turned off. However, from the group theoretical discussion above we see that the noncommutativity of the two spatial coordinates should not just be looked upon as a result of this non-canonical transformation.

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dec. 4, 2012

16 / 31

The above transformation is linear and invertible and may be thought of as giving a non-canonical transformation on the underlying phase space. Since $\tilde{Q}_i = \hat{q}_i \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$, the noncommutativity of the two-plane is lost if the parameter ϑ is turned off.

However, from the group theoretical discussion above we see that the noncommutativity of the two spatial coordinates should not just be looked upon as a result of this non-canonical transformation.

Rather, it is also the two-fold central extension of the (2+1)-Galilei group, governing nonrelativistic mechanics, which is responsible for it.

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

The above transformation is linear and invertible and may be thought of as giving a non-canonical transformation on the underlying phase space. Since $\tilde{Q}_i = \hat{q}_i \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$, the noncommutativity of the two-plane is lost if the parameter ϑ is turned off.

However, from the group theoretical discussion above we see that the noncommutativity of the two spatial coordinates should not just be looked upon as a result of this non-canonical transformation.

Rather, it is also the two-fold central extension of the (2+1)-Galilei group, governing nonrelativistic mechanics, which is responsible for it.

Had we centrally extended the (2+1)-Galilei group using only the cocycle ξ_m^1 in (3.3), we would have just obtained standard quantum mechanics.

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

A remark

At this point we note that in terms of \hat{q}_1 , \hat{q}_2 and \hat{p}_1 , \hat{p}_2 , the usual quantum mechanical position and momentum operators defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx \, dy)$, the noncommutative position operators \tilde{Q}_i can be written as

$$ilde{Q}_1 = \hat{q}_1 - rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_2, \qquad ilde{Q}_2 = \hat{q}_2 + rac{\vartheta}{2\hbar}\hat{p}_1.$$
 (3.10)

16 / 31

Dec. 4. 2012

The above transformation is linear and invertible and may be thought of as giving a non-canonical transformation on the underlying phase space. Since $\tilde{Q}_i = \hat{q}_i \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = 0$, the noncommutativity of the two-plane is lost if the parameter ϑ is turned off.

However, from the group theoretical discussion above we see that the noncommutativity of the two spatial coordinates should not just be looked upon as a result of this non-canonical transformation.

Rather, it is also the two-fold central extension of the (2+1)-Galilei group, governing nonrelativistic mechanics, which is responsible for it.

Had we centrally extended the (2+1)-Galilei group using only the cocycle ξ_m^1 in (3.3), we would have just obtained standard quantum mechanics.

In this sense we claim that the group underlying noncommutative quantum mechanics is the doubly centrally extended (2 + 1)-Galilei group.

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group $G_{\rm Gal}^{\rm ext}.$

포 제 표

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} .

Next we construct coherent states for these representations, which we identify as being the coherent states of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

Dec. 4, 2012

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} .

Next we construct coherent states for these representations, which we identify as being the coherent states of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

We then carry out a quantization of the underlying phase space using these coherent states, obtaining thereby the operators Q_i , P_i (2.1) of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Dec. 4. 2012

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} .

Next we construct coherent states for these representations, which we identify as being the coherent states of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

We then carry out a quantization of the underlying phase space using these coherent states, obtaining thereby the operators Q_i , P_i (2.1) of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

The unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group can be realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ (momentum space representation).

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dec. 4. 2012

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} .

Next we construct coherent states for these representations, which we identify as being the coherent states of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

We then carry out a quantization of the underlying phase space using these coherent states, obtaining thereby the operators Q_i , P_i (2.1) of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

The unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group can be realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ (momentum space representation).

Denoting the unitary representation operators by $\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}$, we have,

$$(\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}(\theta,\phi,R,b,\mathbf{v},\mathbf{a})\hat{f})(\underline{k}) = e^{i(\theta+\phi)}e^{i[\mathbf{a}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v})+\frac{b}{2m}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k}+\frac{\lambda}{2m}\mathbf{v}\wedge\mathbf{k}]}s(R)\hat{f}(R^{-1}(\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v})),$$
(4.1)

for any $\hat{f} \in L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2, d\underline{k}).$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

We now write down the unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} .

Next we construct coherent states for these representations, which we identify as being the coherent states of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

We then carry out a quantization of the underlying phase space using these coherent states, obtaining thereby the operators Q_i , P_i (2.1) of non-commutative quantum mechanics.

The unitary irreducible representations of the extended Galilei group can be realized on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{k})$ (momentum space representation).

Denoting the unitary representation operators by $\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}$, we have,

$$(\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}(\theta,\phi,R,b,\mathbf{v},\mathbf{a})\hat{f})(\underline{k})$$

$$= e^{i(\theta+\phi)}e^{i[\mathbf{a}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v})+\frac{b}{2m}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k}+\frac{\lambda}{2m}\mathbf{v}\wedge\mathbf{k}]}s(R)\hat{f}(R^{-1}(\mathbf{k}-m\mathbf{v})),$$

$$(4.1)$$

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Dec. 4. 2012

17 / 31

for any $\hat{f} \in L^2(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^2, d\underline{k}).$

Here, s denotes the irreducible representation of the rotation group in the rest frame (spin).

It is useful to Fourier transform the above representation to get its configuration space version (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$). A straightforward computation, using Fourier transforms, leads to:

Dec. 4, 2012

It is useful to Fourier transform the above representation to get its configuration space version (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$). A straightforward computation, using Fourier transforms, leads to:

Lemma

The unitary irreducible representations of G_{Gal}^{ext} in the (two-dimensional) configuration space are given by

$$(U_{m,\lambda}(\theta,\phi,R,b,\mathbf{v},\mathbf{a})f)(\mathbf{x})$$

$$= e^{i(\theta+\phi)}e^{im(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a})\cdot\mathbf{v}}e^{-i\frac{b}{2m}\nabla^{2}}s(R)f\left(R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}-\frac{\lambda}{2m}J\mathbf{v}\right)\right),$$

$$(4.2)$$

(日) (四) (日) (日)

Dec. 4. 2012

It is useful to Fourier transform the above representation to get its configuration space version (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$). A straightforward computation, using Fourier transforms, leads to:

Lemma

The unitary irreducible representations of G_{Gal}^{ext} in the (two-dimensional) configuration space are given by

$$(U_{m,\lambda}(\theta,\phi,R,b,\mathbf{v},\mathbf{a})f)(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\theta+\phi)}e^{im(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a})\cdot\mathbf{v}}e^{-i\frac{b}{2m}\nabla^{2}}s(R)f\left(R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}-\frac{\lambda}{2m}J\mathbf{v}\right)\right), \qquad (4.2)$$

where
$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$
, J is the 2 × 2 skew-symmetric matrix $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$.

(日) (四) (日) (日)

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable.

A 4 4 1

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta | U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm,

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta \mid U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm, i.e., for all non-zero $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$,

$$\int_{G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^{\mathsf{ext}}} \left| f_\eta(g)
ight|^2 \, d\mu(g) = \infty \, ,$$

▲ @ ▶ ▲ @ ▶ ▲

Dec. 4. 2012

19 / 31

 $d\mu$ being the Haar measure.

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta \mid U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm, i.e., for all non-zero $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$,

$$\int_{G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^{\mathsf{ext}}} \left| f_{\eta}(g) \right|^2 \, d\mu(g) = \infty \; ,$$

 $d\mu$ being the Haar measure.

On the other hand, the group composition law (3.4) reflects the fact that the subgroup $H := \Theta \times \Phi \times SO(2) \times T$, with generic group elements (θ, ϕ, R, b) , is an abelian subgroup of G_{cal}^{ext} .

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Dec. 4. 2012

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta \mid U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm, i.e., for all non-zero $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$,

$$\int_{G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^{\mathsf{ext}}} \left| f_{\eta}(g) \right|^2 \, d\mu(g) = \infty \; ,$$

 $d\mu$ being the Haar measure.

On the other hand, the group composition law (3.4) reflects the fact that the subgroup $H := \Theta \times \Phi \times SO(2) \times T$, with generic group elements (θ, ϕ, R, b) , is an abelian subgroup of G_{cal}^{ext} .

The left coset space $X := G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ is easily seen to be homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^4 .

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta \mid U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm, i.e., for all non-zero $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$,

$$\int_{G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^{\mathsf{ext}}} \left| f_{\eta}(g) \right|^2 \, d\mu(g) = \infty \; ,$$

 $d\mu$ being the Haar measure.

On the other hand, the group composition law (3.4) reflects the fact that the subgroup $H := \Theta \times \Phi \times SO(2) \times T$, with generic group elements (θ, ϕ, R, b) , is an abelian subgroup of G_{cal}^{ext} .

The left coset space $X := G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ is easily seen to be homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^4 .

Writing **q** for **a** and replacing **v** by $\mathbf{p} := m\mathbf{v}$, we identify X with the phase space of the quantum system corresponding to the UIR $\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}$ and write its elements as (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) .

It is easy to see from (4.2) that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is not square-integrable. This means that there is no non-zero vector η in the representation space for which the function $f_{\eta}(g) = \langle \eta \mid U_{m,\lambda}(g)\eta \rangle$ has finite L^2 -norm, i.e., for all non-zero $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$,

$$\int_{G_{\mathsf{Gal}}^{\mathsf{ext}}} \left| f_{\eta}(g) \right|^2 \, d\mu(g) = \infty \; ,$$

 $d\mu$ being the Haar measure.

On the other hand, the group composition law (3.4) reflects the fact that the subgroup $H := \Theta \times \Phi \times SO(2) \times T$, with generic group elements (θ, ϕ, R, b) , is an abelian subgroup of G_{cal}^{ext} .

The left coset space $X := G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ is easily seen to be homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^4 .

Writing **q** for **a** and replacing **v** by $\mathbf{p} := m\mathbf{v}$, we identify X with the phase space of the quantum system corresponding to the UIR $\hat{U}_{m,\lambda}$ and write its elements as (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) . The homogeneous space carries an invariant measure under the natural action of G_{Gal}^{ext} , which in these coordinates is just the Lebesgue measure $d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}$ on \mathbb{R}^4 .

We define a section $\beta: X \longmapsto G_{Gal}^{ext}$,

$$\beta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (0, 0, \mathbb{I}_2, 0, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \mathbf{q}).$$

$$(4.3)$$

Dec. 4, 2012

We define a section $\beta: X \longmapsto G_{Gal}^{ext}$,

$$\beta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (0, 0, \mathbb{I}_2, 0, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \mathbf{q}).$$

$$(4.3)$$

Dec. 4. 2012

20 / 31

We now show that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is square-integrable $mod(\beta, H)$ in a sense which will be made clear in the sequel.

We define a section $\beta: X \longmapsto G_{Gal}^{ext}$,

$$\beta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (0, 0, \mathbb{I}_2, 0, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \mathbf{q}).$$

$$(4.3)$$

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Dec. 4. 2012

20 / 31

We now show that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is square-integrable $mod(\beta, H)$ in a sense which will be made clear in the sequel.

Thus we can construct coherent states on the homogeneous space (phase space) X. Let $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ be a fixed vector.

We define a section $\beta: X \longmapsto G_{Gal}^{ext}$,

$$\beta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (0, 0, \mathbb{I}_2, 0, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \mathbf{q}).$$
(4.3)

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Dec. 4. 2012

20 / 31

We now show that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is square-integrable $mod(\beta, H)$ in a sense which will be made clear in the sequel.

Thus we can construct coherent states on the homogeneous space (phase space) X. Let $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ be a fixed vector.

At a later stage we shall need to impose a symmetry condition on this vector, but at the moment we leave it arbitrary.

We define a section $\beta: X \longmapsto G_{Gal}^{ext}$,

$$\beta(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (0, 0, \mathbb{I}_2, 0, \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \mathbf{q}).$$
(4.3)

We now show that the representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ is square-integrable $mod(\beta, H)$ in a sense which will be made clear in the sequel.

Thus we can construct coherent states on the homogeneous space (phase space) X. Let $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ be a fixed vector.

At a later stage we shall need to impose a symmetry condition on this vector, but at the moment we leave it arbitrary.

For each phase space point (q, p) define the vector,

$$\chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} = U_{m,\lambda}(\beta(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}))\chi .$$
(4.4)

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

Dec. 4. 2012

20 / 31

so that from (4.2) and (4.3),

$$\chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{p}}\chi\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{q}-\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}J\mathbf{p}\right).$$
(4.5)

Lemma

For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the vectors $\chi_{q,p}$ satisfy the square integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} \langle f \mid \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \mid g \rangle \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p} = (2\pi)^{2} \|\chi\|^{2} \langle f \mid g \rangle.$$
(4.6)

- → 同 → - → 三 →

Dec. 4. 2012

Lemma

For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the vectors $\chi_{q,p}$ satisfy the square integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle f \mid \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \mid g \rangle \, d\mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{p} = (2\pi)^2 ||\chi||^2 \langle f \mid g \rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

A (10) < (10)</p>

Dec. 4. 2012

21 / 31

Additionally, one can show that the operator integral

$$\mathcal{T} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 imes \mathbb{R}^2} |\chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}
angle \langle \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} | \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p} \ ,$$

converges weakly to $T = 2\pi \|\chi\|^2 I$.

Lemma

For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the vectors $\chi_{q,p}$ satisfy the square integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle f \mid \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \rangle \langle \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} \mid g \rangle \, d\mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{p} = (2\pi)^2 ||\chi||^2 \langle f \mid g \rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

Additionally, one can show that the operator integral

$$\mathcal{T} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 imes \mathbb{R}^2} |\chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}
angle \langle \chi_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} | \; d\mathbf{q} \; d\mathbf{p} \; ,$$

converges weakly to $T = 2\pi ||\chi||^2 I$.

Let us now define the vectors

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \|\chi\|} \chi, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}} = U(\beta(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}))\eta \;, \quad (\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}) \in X \;. \tag{4.7}$$

▲ 同 ▶ → (三 ▶

Then, as a consequence of the above lemma, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem

The representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ in (4.2), of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} , is square integrable mod (β , H) and the vectors $\eta_{q,p}$ in (4.7) form a set of coherent states defined on the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{\text{ext}}/H$, satisfying the resolution of the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} |\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}\rangle \langle \eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}| \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p} = I , \qquad (4.8)$$

Dec. 4, 2012

22 / 31

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$.

Then, as a consequence of the above lemma, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem

The representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ in (4.2), of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} , is square integrable mod (β , H) and the vectors $\eta_{q,p}$ in (4.7) form a set of coherent states defined on the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$, satisfying the resolution of the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}\rangle\langle\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}|\ d\mathbf{q}\ d\mathbf{p}=I\ , \tag{4.8}$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$.

Note that

$$\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{p}} \eta\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{q}-\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}J\mathbf{p}\right) . \tag{4.9}$$

Dec. 4, 2012

Then, as a consequence of the above lemma, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem

The representation $U_{m,\lambda}$ in (4.2), of the extended Galilei group G_{Gal}^{ext} , is square integrable mod (β , H) and the vectors $\eta_{q,p}$ in (4.7) form a set of coherent states defined on the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$, satisfying the resolution of the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} |\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}\rangle \langle \eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}| \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p} = I , \qquad (4.8)$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$.

Note that

$$\eta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{p}} \eta\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{q}-\frac{\lambda}{2m^2}J\mathbf{p}\right) . \tag{4.9}$$

Dec. 4. 2012

22 / 31

We shall consider these coherent states to be the ones associated with non-commutative quantum mechanics.

Note that writing $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$ as before, and letting $\vartheta \to 0$, we recover the standard or canonical coherent states of ordinary quantum mechanics, if η is chosen to be the gaussian wave function.

Dec. 4, 2012

Note that writing $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$ as before, and letting $\vartheta \to 0$, we recover the standard or canonical coherent states of ordinary quantum mechanics, if η is chosen to be the gaussian wave function.

Since this also corresponds to setting $\lambda = 0$, it is consistent with constructing the coherent states of the (2+1)-Galilei group with one central extension (using only the first of the two cocycles in (3.3), with mass parameter *m*).

Dec. 4, 2012

Note that writing $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$ as before, and letting $\vartheta \to 0$, we recover the standard or canonical coherent states of ordinary quantum mechanics, if η is chosen to be the gaussian wave function.

Since this also corresponds to setting $\lambda = 0$, it is consistent with constructing the coherent states of the (2+1)-Galilei group with one central extension (using only the first of the two cocycles in (3.3), with mass parameter *m*).

Dec. 4, 2012

23 / 31

Let us emphasize again that the coherent states (4.9) are rooted in the underlying symmetry group of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

Coherent state quantization on phase space

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

A 4 4 1

Coherent state quantization on phase space

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

We shall now carry out a coherent state quantization of functions on this phase space, using the above coherent states of the extended Galilei group.

A (10) + (10)

Dec. 4. 2012

Coherent state quantization on phase space

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

We shall now carry out a coherent state quantization of functions on this phase space, using the above coherent states of the extended Galilei group.

It will turn out that such a quantization of the phase space variables of position and momentum will lead precisely to the operators (3.6).

A 4 4 1

Dec. 4. 2012

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

We shall now carry out a coherent state quantization of functions on this phase space, using the above coherent states of the extended Galilei group.

It will turn out that such a quantization of the phase space variables of position and momentum will lead precisely to the operators (3.6).

Recall that given a (sufficiently well behaved) function $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, its quantized version $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_f$, obtained via coherent state quantization, is the operator (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$) given by the prescription,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{f} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) |\eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}\rangle \langle \eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} | \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p}$$
(4.10)

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

We shall now carry out a coherent state quantization of functions on this phase space, using the above coherent states of the extended Galilei group.

It will turn out that such a quantization of the phase space variables of position and momentum will lead precisely to the operators (3.6).

Recall that given a (sufficiently well behaved) function $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, its quantized version $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_f$, obtained via coherent state quantization, is the operator (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$) given by the prescription,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{f} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) |\eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}\rangle \langle \eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} | \ d\mathbf{q} \ d\mathbf{p}$$
(4.10)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

provided this operator is well-defined (again the integral being weakly defined).

It has been already noted that we are identifying the homogeneous space $X = G_{Gal}^{ext}/H$ with the phase space of the system.

We shall now carry out a coherent state quantization of functions on this phase space, using the above coherent states of the extended Galilei group.

It will turn out that such a quantization of the phase space variables of position and momentum will lead precisely to the operators (3.6).

Recall that given a (sufficiently well behaved) function $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, its quantized version $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_f$, obtained via coherent state quantization, is the operator (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$) given by the prescription,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{f} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) |\eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}\rangle \langle \eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}} | \, d\mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{p}$$
(4.10)

provided this operator is well-defined (again the integral being weakly defined). The operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_f$ act on a $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$ in the following manner

$$(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{f}g)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}} f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \overline{\eta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}')} g(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}' \right] d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} .$$
(4.11)

If we now take the function f to be one of the coordinate functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = q_i$, i = 1, 2, or one of the momentum functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = p_i$, i = 1, 2, then the following theorem shows that the resulting quantized operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_i}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_i}$ are exactly the ones given in (2.1) for noncommutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$) or the ones in (3.6), for the generators of the UIRs of G_{cal}^{ext} or of the noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group.

Dec. 4. 2012

25 / 31

If we now take the function f to be one of the coordinate functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = q_i$, i = 1, 2, or one of the momentum functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = p_i$, i = 1, 2, then the following theorem shows that the resulting quantized operators \hat{O}_{q_i} and \hat{O}_{p_i} are exactly the ones given in (2.1) for noncommutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$) or the ones in (3.6), for the generators of the UIRs of G_{cal}^{ext} or of the noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group.

Theorem

Let η be a smooth function which satisfies the rotational invariance condition, $\eta(\mathbf{x}) = \eta(||\mathbf{x}||)$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, the operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{P_i}, i = 1, 2$, obtained by a quantization of the phase space functions $q_i, p_i, i = 1, 2$, using the coherent states (4.7) of the (2+1)-centrally extended Galilei group, G_{gal}^{ext} , are given by

If we now take the function f to be one of the coordinate functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = q_i$, i = 1, 2, or one of the momentum functions, $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = p_i$, i = 1, 2, then the following theorem shows that the resulting quantized operators \hat{O}_{q_i} and \hat{O}_{p_i} are exactly the ones given in (2.1) for noncommutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$) or the ones in (3.6), for the generators of the UIRs of G_{cal}^{ext} or of the noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group.

Theorem

Let η be a smooth function which satisfies the rotational invariance condition, $\eta(\mathbf{x}) = \eta(||\mathbf{x}||)$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, the operators $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_i}, i = 1, 2$, obtained by a quantization of the phase space functions $q_i, p_i, i = 1, 2$, using the coherent states (4.7) of the (2+1)-centrally extended Galilei group, G_{gal}^{ext} , are given by

$$(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_1}g)(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_1 + \frac{i\lambda}{2m^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)g(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \qquad (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_2}g)(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_2 - \frac{i\lambda}{2m^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)g(\mathbf{x})$$
$$(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_1}g)(\mathbf{x}) = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}g(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \qquad (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_2}g)(\mathbf{x}) = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}g(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (4.12)$$

for $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x})$, in the domain of these operators.

In (4.12) if we make the substitution $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$, we get the operators (3.6) and the commutation relations of non-commutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$):

Dec. 4, 2012

26 / 31

In (4.12) if we make the substitution $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$, we get the operators (3.6) and the commutation relations of non-commutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$):

$$[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_1}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_2}] = i\vartheta I, \qquad [\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_j}] = i\delta_{ij}I, \qquad [\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_j}] = 0.$$
(4.13)

Dec. 4, 2012

26 / 31

In (4.12) if we make the substitution $\vartheta = \frac{\lambda}{m^2}$, we get the operators (3.6) and the commutation relations of non-commutative quantum mechanics (with $\hbar = 1$):

$$[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_1}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_2}] = i\vartheta I, \qquad [\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_j}] = i\delta_{ij}I, \qquad [\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_i}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{p_j}] = 0.$$
(4.13)

Dec. 4. 2012

26 / 31

Note that the first commutation relation, between $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_1}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{q_2}$ in (4.13) above, also implies that the two dimensional plane \mathbb{R}^2 becomes noncommutative as a result of quantization.

We could play the same game by taking successive central extensions of the abelian group of translations $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 .

We could play the same game by taking successive central extensions of the abelian group of translations $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 .

A generic element of this group, (q, p), obeys the group composition rule

$$(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})(\mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p}') = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}'), \qquad \mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
 (5.1)

A (1) < (2)</p>

Dec. 4. 2012

27 / 31

We could play the same game by taking successive central extensions of the abelian group of translations $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 .

A generic element of this group, (q, p), obeys the group composition rule

$$(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})(\mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p}') = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}'), \qquad \mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
 (5.1)

Dec. 4. 2012

27 / 31

At the level of the Lie algebra, all the generators commute with each other. In order to arrive at quantum mechanics out of this abelian Lie group, and to go further to obtain noncommutative quantum mechanics, we need to centrally extend this group of translations.

We could play the same game by taking successive central extensions of the abelian group of translations $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 .

A generic element of this group, (q, p), obeys the group composition rule

$$(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})(\mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p}') = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}'), \qquad \mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
 (5.1)

Dec. 4. 2012

27 / 31

At the level of the Lie algebra, all the generators commute with each other. In order to arrive at quantum mechanics out of this abelian Lie group, and to go further to obtain noncommutative quantum mechanics, we need to centrally extend this group of translations.

A double central extension of G_T yields the commutation relations (2.1) of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

We could play the same game by taking successive central extensions of the abelian group of translations $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 .

A generic element of this group, (q, p), obeys the group composition rule

$$(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})(\mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p}') = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}',\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}'), \qquad \mathbf{p},\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
 (5.1)

At the level of the Lie algebra, all the generators commute with each other. In order to arrive at quantum mechanics out of this abelian Lie group, and to go further to obtain noncommutative quantum mechanics, we need to centrally extend this group of translations.

A double central extension of G_T yields the commutation relations (2.1) of noncommutative quantum mechanics.

Going a step further and doing a triple extension of G_T , the Lie algebra basis is seen to satisfy the additional commutation relation (2.3) between the momentum operators.

(III) (III) (III) (III)

Central extensions of G_T

The extensions of G_T are done using inequivalent local exponents.

• □ > < 同 > < 三 >

Central extensions of G_T

The extensions of G_T are done using inequivalent local exponents. We need the following theorem

Theorem

The three real valued functions $\xi,\,\xi'$ and ξ'' on $G_T\times G_T$ given by

$$\xi((q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2), (q'_1, q'_2, p'_1, p'_2)) = \frac{1}{2}[q_1p'_1 + q_2p'_2 - p_1q'_1 - p_2q'_2], \quad (5.2)$$

$$\xi'((q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2), (q'_1, q'_2, p'_1, p'_2)) = \frac{1}{2}[p_1p'_2 - p_2p'_1], \qquad (5.3)$$

$$\xi^{\prime\prime}((q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2), (q_1^{\prime}, q_2^{\prime}, p_1^{\prime}, p_2^{\prime})) = \frac{1}{2}[q_1q_2^{\prime} - q_2q_1^{\prime}], \qquad (5.4)$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Dec. 4, 2012

28 / 31

are inequivalent local exponents for the group, G_T , of translations in \mathbb{R}^4 .

A group elelment of the doubly (centrally) extended group $\overline{\overline{G_{\tau}}}$, where the extension is achieved by means of the two multipliers ξ and ξ' has the matrix representation by the following 7×7 upper triangular matrix

$$(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{\alpha}{2}p_1 & -\frac{\alpha}{2}p_2 & \frac{\alpha}{2}q_1 & \frac{\alpha}{2}q_2 & \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta}{2}p_2 & \frac{\beta}{2}p_1 & \phi \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & q_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & q_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & p_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & p_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5.5)

Dec. 4, 2012 29 / 31

< A >

Let us denote the generators of the Lie group $\overline{\overline{G_{\tau}}}$, or equivalently the basis of the associated Lie algebra, $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}}}$ by $\Theta, \Phi, Q_1, Q_2, P_1$ and P_2 .

Let us denote the generators of the Lie group $\overline{\overline{G_{T}}}$, or equivalently the basis of the associated Lie algebra, $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{G}_{T}}}$ by $\Theta, \Phi, Q_1, Q_2, P_1$ and P_2 . These satisfy the commutation relations,

$$[P_i, Q_j] = \alpha \delta_{i,j} \Theta, \quad [Q_1, Q_2] = \beta \Phi, \quad [P_1, P_2] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Theta] = 0, [Q_i, \Theta] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [Q_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [\Theta, \Phi] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

$$(5.6)$$

Dec. 4. 2012

30 / 31

It is easily seen that Θ and Φ form the center of the algebra $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{G}_T}}$.

Let us denote the generators of the Lie group $\overline{\overline{G_{T}}}$, or equivalently the basis of the associated Lie algebra, $\overline{\overline{g_{T}}}$ by $\Theta, \Phi, Q_1, Q_2, P_1$ and P_2 . These satisfy the commutation relations,

$$[P_i, Q_j] = \alpha \delta_{i,j} \Theta, \quad [Q_1, Q_2] = \beta \Phi, \quad [P_1, P_2] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Theta] = 0, [Q_i, \Theta] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [Q_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [\Theta, \Phi] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

$$(5.6)$$

It is easily seen that Θ and Φ form the center of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}}$.

Also, unlike in standard quantum mechanics, the two generators of space translation, Q_1 , Q_2 , no longer commute, the noncommutativity of these two generators being controlled by the central extension parameter β .

Let us denote the generators of the Lie group $\overline{\overline{G_{T}}}$, or equivalently the basis of the associated Lie algebra, $\overline{\overline{g_{T}}}$ by $\Theta, \Phi, Q_1, Q_2, P_1$ and P_2 . These satisfy the commutation relations,

$$[P_i, Q_j] = \alpha \delta_{i,j} \Theta, \quad [Q_1, Q_2] = \beta \Phi, \quad [P_1, P_2] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Theta] = 0, [Q_i, \Theta] = 0, \quad [P_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [Q_i, \Phi] = 0, \quad [\Theta, \Phi] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$
(5.6)

It is easily seen that Θ and Φ form the center of the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}}$.

Also, unlike in standard quantum mechanics, the two generators of space translation, Q_1, Q_2 , no longer commute, the noncommutativity of these two generators being controlled by the central extension parameter β .

It is in this context that it is reasonable to call the Lie group $\overline{G_T}$ the noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group and the corresponding Lie algebra the noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg algebra.

Theorem

The noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group $\overline{\overline{G_T}}$ admits a unitary irreducible representation realized on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$ by the operators $U(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$(U(\theta,\phi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})f)(\mathbf{s}) = \exp i\left(\theta + \phi - \alpha \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p} \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{p} \wedge \mathbf{s}\right) f(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{p}), \quad (5.7)$$

▲ @ ▶ ▲ Э

Dec. 4. 2012

31 / 31

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$.

Theorem

The noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group $\overline{\overline{G_T}}$ admits a unitary irreducible representation realized on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$ by the operators $U(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$(U(\theta,\phi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})f)(\mathbf{s}) = \exp i\left(\theta + \phi - \alpha \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p} \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{p} \wedge \mathbf{s}\right) f(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{p}), \quad (5.7)$$

Dec. 4. 2012

31 / 31

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$.

• Generators and commutation relations

Theorem

The noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group $\overline{G_T}$ admits a unitary irreducible representation realized on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$ by the operators $U(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$(U(\theta,\phi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})f)(\mathbf{s}) = \exp i\left(\theta + \phi - \alpha \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p} \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{p} \wedge \mathbf{s}\right) f(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{p}), \quad (5.7)$$

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$.

- Generators and commutation relations
- Coherent states and quantization

A (10)

Theorem

The noncommutative Weyl-Heisenberg group $\overline{\overline{G_T}}$ admits a unitary irreducible representation realized on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$ by the operators $U(\theta, \phi, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$:

$$(U(\theta,\phi,\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})f)(\mathbf{s}) = \exp i\left(\theta + \phi - \alpha \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p} \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2}\mathbf{p} \wedge \mathbf{s}\right) f(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{p}), \quad (5.7)$$

A - A - A

Dec. 4, 2012

31 / 31

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{s})$.

- Generators and commutation relations
- Coherent states and quantization
- Triple extension and non-commuting p₁, p₂