
"But our present QM formalism is not purely epistemological; it is a peculiar mixture describing in part realities of Nature, in part incomplete human information about Nature --- all scrambled up by Heisenberg and Bohr into an omelette that nobody has seen how to unscramble. Yet we think that the unscrambling is a prerequisite for any further advance in basic physical theory. For, if we cannot separate the subjective and objective aspects of the formalism, we cannot know what we are talking about; it is just that simple."
--E.T. Jaynes

## Formulating Quantum Theory as a

 Causally Neutralt eory of Bayesian Inference

## Where I'm coming from...

Classical statistical theory
$+$
fundamental restriction on statistical distributions
$\Downarrow$
A large part of quantum theory

In the resulting model
quantum states are states of incomplete knowledge


## Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics

A Liouville distribution $\mu(q, p)$, can describe an agent's knowledge only if it satisfies:

The classical uncertainty principle:

$$
\Delta^{2} q \Delta^{2} p-C_{q, p}^{2} \geq(\hbar / 2)^{2}
$$

The max-ent condition: the entropy of $\mu(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p})$,

$$
S(\mu)=-\int \mu(q, p) \log \mu(q, p) \mathrm{d} q \mathrm{~d} p
$$

is maximal for a given set of second-order moments.

(This can be generalized to $n$ systems)

The limit of perfect knowledge for some variables
An observer can only jointly know the values of a set of variables if they commute relative to the Poisson bracket.

$$
\text { know } Q \quad \text { know } P \quad \text { know } Q_{A}-Q_{B} \text { and } P_{A}+P_{B}
$$

$$
P(q, p) \propto \delta(q-a)
$$


$P(q, p) \propto \delta(p-b)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(q_{A}, p_{A}, q_{B}, p_{B}\right) \\
& \quad \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}+p_{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

corresponds to EPR state

Valid transformations


Valid measurements


Theorem: Epistemically restricted Liouville mechanics is empirically equivalent to Gaussian quantum mechanics

Bartlett, Rudolph and Spekkens, arXiv:1111:5057

## EPR effect in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics



## EPR effect in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (A }--\sqrt{\mathbf{B}} \\
P_{\mathrm{EPR}}\left(q_{A}, p_{A}, q_{B}, p_{B}\right) \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}+p_{B}\right) \\
Q_{B}-Q_{A}=0 \\
P_{B}+P_{A}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## EPR effect in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics



## EPR effect in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics



## Collapse Rule in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics



## Collapse Rule in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics

Measure $Q_{B}$ find $q$



But this would violate the epistemic restriction!

## Collapse Rule in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics
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## Collapse Rule in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics



## Categorizing quantum phenomena

Those arising in a restricted statistical classical theory

Noncommutativity
Entanglement
Collapse
Wave-particle duality
Teleportation
No cloning
Interference
Key distribution
Improvements in metrology
Quantum eraser
Coherent superposition
Pre and post-selection effects Others...

Those not arising in a restricted statistical classical theory

Bell inequality violations
Computational speed-up (if it exists)
Noncontextuality inequality violations
Certain aspects of items on the left Others...

## Quantum theory as a theory of Bayesian inference

## Classical

$P(R)$ Probability distribution over phase-space coordinates R
$P(R=r)$ probability that $R=r$

Quantum
$\rho_{A}$ Operator on Hilbert space of $A$

No analogue (yet)

State of knowledge
Normalization

Joint state
Marginalization

## Classical

$P(S)=\sum_{R} P(R, S)$ $P(S \mid R)$

Quantum
$P(R)$
$\rho_{A}$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \rho_{A}=1
$$

$\rho_{A B}$
$\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \rho_{A B}$
????

Conditional probability

$$
P(S \mid R)
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\sum_{S} P(S \mid R)=1
$$

Conditional state

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{B \mid A}\right)=I_{A}
$$

Conditional probability

$$
P(S \mid R)
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\sum_{S} P(S \mid R)=1
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
P(S \mid R)=\frac{P(R, S)}{P(R)}
$$

Conditional state

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{B \mid A}\right)=I_{A}
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\left(\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \otimes I_{B}\right) \rho_{A B}\left(\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \otimes I_{B}\right)
$$

Conditional probability

$$
P(S \mid R)
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\sum_{S} P(S \mid R)=1
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
P(S \mid R)=\frac{P(R, S)}{P(R)}
$$

Conditional state

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{B \mid A}\right)=I_{A}
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

See: Leifer, PRA 74, 042310 (2006)

Conditional probability

$$
P(S \mid R)
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\sum_{S} P(S \mid R)=1
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(S \mid R)=\frac{P(R, S)}{P(R)} \\
P(R, S)=P(S \mid R) P(R)
\end{gathered}
$$

Conditional state

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{B \mid A}\right)=I_{A}
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{B \mid A} & =\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
\rho_{A B} & =\rho_{A}^{1 / 2} \rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conditional probability

$$
P(S \mid R)
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\sum_{S} P(S \mid R)=1
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(S \mid R)=\frac{P(R, S)}{P(R)} \\
P(R, S)=P(S \mid R) P(R)
\end{gathered}
$$

Classical belief propagation

$$
P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R)
$$

Conditional state

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}
$$

Normalization condition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\rho_{B \mid A}\right)=I_{A}
$$

Relation of conditional to joint

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{B \mid A} & =\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
\rho_{A B} & =\rho_{A}^{1 / 2} \rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Quantum belief propagation

$$
\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

Two formulas for the joint probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(R, S) & =P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
& =P(R \mid S) P(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

Classical Bayes' theorem

$$
P(S \mid R)=\frac{P(R \mid S) P(S)}{P(R)}
$$

Classical
Bayesian conditioning

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(S) \rightarrow P(S \mid X=x) \\
P(S \mid X=x)=\sum_{X} P(S \mid X) \delta_{X, x}
\end{gathered}
$$

Two formulas for the joint state

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{B A} & =\rho_{A}^{1 / 2} \rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\rho_{B}^{1 / 2} \rho_{A \mid B} \rho_{B}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Quantum Bayes' theorem

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{B}^{1 / 2} \rho_{A \mid B} \rho_{B}^{1 / 2} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

## Quantum

Bayesian conditioning

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{B} \rightarrow \rho_{B \mid X=x} \\
\rho_{B \mid X=x} \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{X}\left(\rho_{B \mid X}|x\rangle\left\langle\left. x\right|_{X}\right)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## Causal Neutrality

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{R} \quad P(R, S) \\
P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)]
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { (A)---B } \rho_{A B}
$$

????

$$
? ? ? ?
$$

$$
? ? ? ?
$$



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boxed{\mathbf{R}}-\mathbf{s} \quad P(R, S) \\
P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)]
\end{array}
$$

(A)--(B) $\rho_{A B}$

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\mathfrak{E}_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boxed{\mathbf{R}}-\mathbf{\mathbf { s }} \quad P(R, S) \\
P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)]
\end{array}
$$

(A)--(B) $\rho_{A B}$

$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\mathfrak{E}_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right)
$$

$P(R, S)$

| $P(R, S)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{~}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R)$ |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R)$ |

$$
P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{s} \quad P(R, S) \\
& P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
& P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
& P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)] \\
& \text { (A)-- B } \rho_{A B} \\
& \rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
& \rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right) \\
& \rho_{B}=\mathfrak{E}_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right) \\
& \rho_{B \mid A} \geq 0 \\
& \mathfrak{E}_{A \rightarrow B} \circ T_{A} \text { is CP } \\
& P(R, S) \\
& P(S)=\Gamma_{R \rightarrow S}[P(R)] \\
& \begin{aligned}
\varrho_{B \mid A} & =\varrho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \varrho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
\text { A } \quad \rho_{B} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\varrho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \rho_{B}=\mathcal{E}_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right) \\
& \varrho_{B \mid A}^{T_{A}} \geq 0 \\
& \mathcal{E}_{A \rightarrow B} \text { is CP }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boxed{\mathbf{R}}-\mathbf{s} \quad & P(R, S) \\
& P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
& P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{B \mid A} \geq 0
$$

$P(R, S)$
$\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{5} & P(R, S) \\ \mathbf{1} & P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\ \mathbf{R} & P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R)\end{array}$

$$
\varrho_{B \mid A}^{T_{A}} \geq 0
$$

Comparing causal and acausal correlations in Quantum Mechanics


|  | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{A}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{B}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{A}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|\mathrm{EPR}\rangle$ | C | A |
| $\left(\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{U}_{\text {inv }}\right)\|\mathrm{EPR}\rangle$ | A | C |


|  | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{A}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{A}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| id | C | C |
| inv | A | A |

Comparing causal and acausal correlations in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\mathrm{id}}\left(q_{B}, p_{B} \mid q_{A}, p_{A}\right) \\
& \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{B}=Q_{A} \\
& P_{B}=P_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{\mathrm{EPR}}\left(q_{A}, p_{A}, q_{B}, p_{B}\right) \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}+p_{B}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{B}-Q_{A}=0 \\
& P_{B}+P_{A}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $Q_{A}, Q_{B}$ | $P_{A}, P B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EPR}}$ | C | A |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EPR} \text {-inv }}$ | A | C |


|  | $Q_{A}, Q B$ | $P_{A}, P B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {id }}$ | C | C |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {inv }}$ | A | A |

Comparing causal and acausal correlations in Epistemically Restricted Liouville mechanics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\mathrm{EPR}}\left(q_{B}, p_{B} \mid q_{A}, p_{A}\right) \\
& \quad \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}+p_{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{B}=Q_{A} \\
P_{B}=-P_{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

Not allowed!

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{\mathrm{id}}\left(q_{B}, p_{B} \mid q_{A}, p_{A}\right) \propto \delta\left(q_{A}-q_{B}\right) \delta\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right) \\
Q_{B}-Q_{A}=0 \quad \text { Not allowed! } \\
P_{B}-P_{A}=0 \quad
\end{array}
$$

|  | $Q_{A}, Q_{B}$ | $P_{A}, P B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EPR}}$ | C | A |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{EPR} \text {-inv }}$ | A | C |


|  | $Q_{A}, Q B$ | $P_{A}, P B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {id }}$ | C | C |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {inv }}$ | A | A |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{s} \quad P(R, S) \\
& P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
& P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
& \text { (A)-- (B) } \rho_{A B} \\
& \rho_{B \mid A}=\rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \rho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
& \rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right) \\
& P(S \mid R) \in L_{\text {restricted }} \\
& P(R, S) \\
& P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
& P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \varrho_{B \mid A}=\varrho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \varrho_{A B} \rho_{A}^{-1 / 2} \\
& \text { A } \rho_{B} \\
&=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\varrho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& P(S \mid R) \in \Lambda_{R}\left(L_{\text {restricted }}\right) \\
& \varrho_{B \mid A}^{T_{A}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boxed{\mathbf{R}}- & P(R, S) \\
& P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R) \\
& P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R) \\
& P(S \mid R) \in L_{\text {restricted }}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\tau_{B \mid A}=\tau_{A}^{-1 / 2} \tau_{A B} \tau_{A}^{-1 / 2}
$$

$$
\tau_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\tau_{B \mid A} \tau_{A}\right)
$$

$P(R, S)$

| $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $P(S \mid R)=P(R, S) / P(R)$ |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $P(S)=\sum_{R} P(S \mid R) P(R)$ |



$$
P(S \mid R) \in \Lambda_{R}\left(L_{\text {restricted }}\right)
$$

## Quantum steering and quantum collapse in the conditional states formalism

## Quantum steering

## Measure:



Fuchs, quant-ph/0205039

## Quantum collapse

Measure:
$\rho_{X \mid B} \leftrightarrow\left\{E_{x}^{B}\right\}$
Learn: $X=x$


Collapse rule:

$$
\rho_{B} \rightarrow \frac{\left(E_{x}^{B}\right)^{1 / 2} \rho_{B}\left(E_{x}^{B}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(E_{x}^{B} \rho_{B}\right)}
$$

Pure Bayesian conditioning:

$$
\rho_{B} \rightarrow \frac{\rho_{B}^{1 / 2} E_{x}^{B} \rho_{B}^{1 / 2}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(E_{x}^{B} \rho_{B}\right)}
$$

## Quantum collapse

## Measure:



Given:

$$
\varrho_{A^{\prime} B^{\prime} \mid A B} \leftrightarrow U_{A B \rightarrow A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}
$$

$A^{\prime}$ gets info about $B$
(i.e. mmt is informative)
$B^{\prime}$ gets info about $A$
( $B$ to $B^{\prime}$ is not identity channel)
no information gain without disturbance

## Quantum collapse

## Measure:



## Quantum collapse

## Measure:



## Quantum collapse

Measure:

$\underset{\rho_{B} \rightarrow \rho_{B^{\prime} \mid X=x}}{\text { Collapse rule }}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}\text { Quantum belief pron } \\ \text { (disturban } \\ \rho_{B} \rightarrow \rho_{B^{\prime}} \\ +\end{array}\right.$
Quantum Bayesian conditioning

$$
\rho_{B^{\prime}} \rightarrow \rho_{B^{\prime} \mid X=x}
$$

No local explanation of Bell inequality violations


Satisfies all Bell inequalities


Can violate Bell inequalities

## Further work

- Conditional independence, sufficient statistics
- Retrodiction, Pre and post selection, General inference
- State compatibility and state pooling


## References

- Bartlett, Rudolph and Spekkens, Reconstruction of Gaussian quantum mechanics from Liouville mechanics with an epistemic restriction, arXiv:1111:5057
- Leifer, Quantum Dynamics as an anolog of conditional probability, PRA 74, 042310 (2006)
- Leifer and Spekkens, Formulating Quantum Theory as a Causally Neutral Theory of Bayesian Inference, arXiv:1107.5849
- Wood and Spekkens, The lesson of causal discovery algorithms for quantum correlations, arXiv:1208.4119

Conventional expression

Born's rule

$$
\forall y: P(Y=y)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(E_{y}^{A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{Y}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\rho_{Y \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

Ensemble averaging

$$
\rho_{A}=\sum_{x} P(X=x) \rho_{x}^{A} \quad \rho_{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{X}\left(\rho_{A \mid X} \rho_{X}\right)
$$

Action of quantum channel

$$
\rho_{B}=\mathcal{E}^{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right) \quad \rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\varrho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$

Composition of channels

$$
\mathcal{E}^{A \rightarrow C}=\mathcal{E}^{B \rightarrow C} \circ \mathcal{E}^{A \rightarrow B} \quad \varrho_{C \mid A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{B}\left(\varrho_{C \mid B} \varrho_{B \mid A}\right)
$$

State update

$$
\forall y: P(Y=y) \rho_{y}^{B}=\mathcal{E}_{y}^{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{Y B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\varrho_{Y B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)
$$ rule

## An idea for achieving realism in quantum theory



## Quantum retrodiction



Given: $\varrho_{A \mid B} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{B \rightarrow A}$ $\rho_{B}$

Infer: $\rho_{B} \rightarrow \rho_{B \mid X=x}$

$$
\rho_{B \mid X}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\varrho_{B \mid A} \rho_{A \mid X}\right)
$$

$$
\varrho_{B \mid A}=\varrho_{A \mid B} *\left(\rho_{B} \rho_{A}^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{A \mid X}=\rho_{X \mid A} *\left(\rho_{A} \rho_{X}^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{B \mid X=x}=\frac{\rho_{B}^{1 / 2} \mathcal{E}^{\dagger}{ }_{A \rightarrow B}\left(E_{x}^{A}\right) \rho_{B}^{1 / 2}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(E_{x}^{A} \mathcal{E}_{B \rightarrow A}\left(\rho_{B}\right)\right)}
$$

Generalizes Barnett, Pegg \& Jeffers,
J. Mod. Opt. 47:1779 (2000).

Time symmetry:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Set of possible } \\
& \text { predictive inferences }
\end{aligned}=\quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Set of possible } \\
\text { retrodictive inferences }
\end{gathered}
$$

The Jamiolkowski isomorphism

$$
\tau_{B \mid A}=\left(\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A}\right)\left(\sum_{j, k}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A^{\prime}} \otimes \mid k\right\rangle\left\langle\left. j\right|_{A}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \text { is trace-preserving } \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{B} \tau_{B \mid A}=I_{A}
$$

This implies: $\quad \Phi_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\tau_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)$

Proof: $\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\tau_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)=\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B}\left(\sum_{j, k}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A^{\prime}}\langle j| \rho_{A} \mid k\right\rangle\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\Phi_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\sum_{j, k}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. j\right|_{A} \rho_{A} \mid k\right\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A}\right)\right. \\
& =\Phi_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right) \text { QED }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jamiolkowski isomorphism

$$
\tau_{B \mid A}=\left(\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A}\right)\left(\sum_{j, k}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A^{\prime}} \otimes \mid k\right\rangle\left\langle\left. j\right|_{A}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \text { is trace-preserving } \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{B} \tau_{B \mid A}=I_{A}
$$

This implies: $\quad \Phi_{A \rightarrow B}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\tau_{B \mid A} \rho_{A}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{B \mid A} & =\left(\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A}\right)\left(\sum_{j, k}|j\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A^{\prime}} \otimes \mid j\right\rangle\left\langle\left. k\right|_{A}\right)^{T_{A}}\right. \\
& =\left(\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A}\right)\left(d_{A}\left|\Psi^{+}\right\rangle_{A^{\prime} A}\left\langle\Psi^{+}\right|\right)^{T_{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Phi_{A \rightarrow B} \text { is } \mathrm{CP} \leftrightarrow \tau_{B \mid A}^{T_{A}} \geq 0
$$

$$
\tau_{B \mid A}=\left(\left[\Phi_{A^{\prime} \rightarrow B} \circ T_{A^{\prime}}\right] \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A}\right)\left(d_{A}\left|\Psi^{+}\right\rangle_{A^{\prime} A}\left\langle\Psi^{+}\right|\right)
$$

$$
\Phi_{A \rightarrow B} \circ T_{A} \text { is } \mathrm{CP} \leftrightarrow \tau_{B \mid A} \geq 0
$$

