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ABSTRACT
In this paper, cubic interpolated pseudo particle–lattice Boltzmann model is applied to simulate the natural convection of air in enclosure at various Rayleigh numbers. The basic idea is to discretise the advection term in lattice Boltzmann governing equation and solved using finite difference cubic-interpolated-pseudo-particle method. In our approach, two-dimensional nine-velocity model is coupled with two-dimensional four-velocity model to represent density and internal energy density distribution function respectively. Good agreement was obtained between the present approach and those by previous studies using Navier-Stokes solver and conventional LBM. The proposed approach is also found to be an efficient and stable numerical scheme for solving natural convection heat transfer problem.
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INTRODUCTION
Lattice Boltzmann model (LBM) was introduced as an alternative to traditional methods for numerically solving the Navier Stokes equations (Berndorf et al., 2000 and Azwadi et al., 2006). The standard LBM imposed for the sake of numerical stability, Langragian approach for the evolution of particle distribution function and restricts the direction of moving particles at a site. The LBM also ignores particle correlation and often use a simpler Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision operator (Bhatnagar et al., 1954). However, even under these simplifications, LBM has demonstrated its ability to simulate flows in porous media (Berndorf et al., 2000), immiscible fluids (Martys et al., 1996), magneto-hydrodynamics (Chen et al., 1991), etc.
Historically, LBM was derived from the lattice gas automata (LGA) method (Frish et al., 1986). Consequently, the LBM inherits some features from its precursor, the LGA method. The dynamics of distribution function evolving on a lattice space consists of two main steps; collision, particle at the same site collide according to a set of hard sphere particle collisions rules; and streaming, particle move to the nearest node in the direction of its velocities. However, instead of using Boolean representation of particle in LGA, LBM uses real numbers represent the local ensemble-averaged particle distribution function, and only kinetic equations for the distribution function are solved. The number of discrete velocities determines the lattice structure of LBM models. In other words, the discretization of physical space is coupled with the discretization of momentum space. As a result, computational in LBM is only restricted with uniform lattice structure and second order accuracy in space and time (Azwadi et al., 2008).

Due to the restraint mentioned above, the standard LBM has great difficulty in simulating fluid flow problem under critical conditions such as high Reynolds number or high Rayleigh numbers. Since He et al. (He et al., 1997) and Abe (Abe, 1998) demonstrated that the lattice Boltzmann equation is a discretized form of the continuous Boltzmann equation and the discretization of physical space is not necessary coupled with the discretization of momentum space, any standard numerical techniques can serve the purpose of solving the discrete Boltzmann equation. The first finite different LBM (FDLBM) was due to Reider and Sterling (Reider et al., 1995), and was examined by Cao et al. (Cao et al., 1997) in more detail. The study of FDLBM is still in progress (Azwadi et al., 2008, Tolke et al., 1998). In the present paper, we proposed a coupling of the modified finite different method with the LBM. Cubic interpolated pseudo particle method is chosen to discretize the advection term in the LBM governing equation. The CIP method tries to construct a solution inside the grid cell close enough to the real solution of the given equation with some constraints (Takashi et al., 2001). This method has been widely applied to simulate shock wave, milk crown formation and laser induced evaporation problems (Takashi et al., 2001). Consequently, this approach can be applied for the simulation at various conditions with low spatial resolution together with acceptable accuracy. For the verification purpose, we simulated the natural convection heat transfer in a square cavity ranging \( \text{Ra} = 10^3 \) to \( \text{Ra} = 10^5 \) and compared the obtained results with those from the previous studies.

The rest of the paper is organized as follow. In section 2, we discuss the theory of thermal LBM. The double distribution function (DDF) model
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proposed by He et al. (He et al., 1998) is brought as a governing equation due to its excellent numerical stability and widely used in simulating thermal fluid flow problems. The details theory of CIP is discussed in section 3. Then the CIP method is first used to solve the one dimensional advection equation by approximating spatial quantities in the grid interval using cubic polynomial. In section 4, the advection term and non advection term in LBM governing equation is split in order to formulize the CIP-LBM thermal scheme. In section 5, we apply the proposed CIP-LBM scheme and simulate the phenomena of natural convection in a square cavity. The final section concludes this study.

THERMAL LATTICE BOLTZMANN METHOD

The governing equations for double distribution function thermal LBM, (DDFTLBM) are

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \Omega(f) + F \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \Omega(g)
\]

where the density distribution function \( f = f(x,t) \) is use to simulate the density and velocity fields and the internal energy density distribution function \( g = g(x,c,t) \) is used to simulate the macroscopic temperature field. \( C, \Omega \) and \( F \) are the microscopic velocity, collision term and external force respectively.

The collision term is very complicated and must be simplified in practical calculations. One such simplification is to replace the collision term by a single relaxation time BGK model (Bhatnagar et al.,1954) as follow:

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\tau_f} \left( f_{eq} - f \right) + F \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\tau_g} \left( g_{eq} - g \right)
\]
Eqs. (3) and (4) are known as the evolution equation of the density and internal energy density distribution function respectively.

The discretised equilibrium distributions are defined as:

\[ f_{i}^{eq} = \rho \omega_i \left[ 1 - 3(c_i \cdot u) + \frac{9}{2} (c_i \cdot u)^2 - \frac{3}{2} u^2 \right] \]  

(5)

\[ g_{i}^{eq} = T \omega_i \left[ 1 - 3(c_i \cdot u) \right] \]  

(6)

The value of \( \omega \) in Eqs (5) and (6) is depends on the direction of the microscopic velocity of the particle distribution function. For two-dimension nine-velocity model, \( \text{(D2Q9)} \) the value of \( w \) are, \( w_1=4/9, w_2,5=1/9, w_{6,9}=1/36 \) and for D2Q4, \( w_{1,4}=1/4 \).

![Figure 1: D2Q9 model (left) and D2Q4 model (right)](image)

The macroscopic variables can be evaluated as the moment to the distribution function as follow

\[ \rho = \int f dc \]  

(7)

\[ \rho u = \int cf dc \]  

(8)

\[ T = \int g dc. \]  

(9)

By applying the Chapmann-Enskog expansion, Eqs. (1) to (9) can lead to the macroscopic continuity, momentum and energy equation. Detail derivation can be found in Azwadi et al. (Azwadi et al., 2007).
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\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (10) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F} \quad (11) \]

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (uT) = \chi \nabla^2 T \quad (12) \]

The viscosity \( \nu \) and thermal diffusivity \( \chi \) are related to the time relaxation as follow

\[ \nu = \frac{\tau_f}{3} \quad (13) \]

\[ \chi = \tau_g \quad (14) \]

CUBIC-INTERPOLATED-PSEUDO-PARTICLE (CIP)

The CIP method was proposed and has been highly proven to be a universal solver for hyperbolic type of equations (Takewaki et al.). CIP is known as a numerical method for solving advection equation with low numerical diffusion (Yuya et al., 2004). This method constructs a solution inside the grid cell close enough to the real solution of the given equation (Yabe et al., 2002).

In this section, we briefly discuss the theory of CIP scheme in one dimensional case. For higher dimensional cases, readers are encouraged to refer the cited references. We consider a linear hyperbolic equation to be solved in the following term

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (15) \]

The theoretical solution of Eq. (15) is obtained by shifting a profile

\[ f(x_i, t + \Delta t) = f(x_i - c\Delta t, t) \quad (16) \]
The interpolation function and its first derivatives are continuous at both ends. As a result, we have

\[ A_i = \frac{g_i + g_{i-1}}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{2(f_i - f_{i-1})}{\Delta x^3} \quad (18) \]

\[ B_i = \frac{3(f_{i-1} - f_i)}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{2g_i + g_{i+1}}{\Delta x} \quad (19) \]

\[ \frac{F_i(x_i)}{dx} = C_i = g_i \quad (20) \]

\[ F_i(x_i) = D_i = f_i \quad (21) \]

Once \( F_i(x) \) are determined for all grid intervals, the spatial derivatives are calculated as

\[ \frac{F_i(x_i)}{dx} = (3A_i(x - x_i) + 2B_i(x - x_i) + g_i) \quad (22) \]

The advection profile is given by

\[ f_{i+1} = A_i \xi^3 + B_i \xi^2 + g_i \xi + f_i \quad (23) \]

and

\[ g_{i+1} = 3A_i \xi^2 + 2B_i \xi + g_i \quad (24) \]

where

\[ f^{n+1} = F(x - c\Delta t) \quad (25) \]

\[ g^{n+1} = \frac{dF(x - c\Delta t)}{dx} \quad (26) \]

\[ \xi = -c\Delta t \quad (27) \]
We first apply CIP method to the propagation of a square wave. Figure 2 shows the comparison of results when the wave moves from its initial position to new position predicted by CIP, first order upwind scheme, Lax Wendroff Scheme and the analytical solution. The result shows that the CIP method gives the best solution compared to other methods.

![Graph showing comparison of CIP Scheme and Analytical solution over time](image)
Figure 2: Comparison solution to the advection equation using (a) CIP scheme, (b) first order upwind scheme and (c) Lax-Wendroff scheme
TWO-DIMENSIONAL CIP-LBM THERMAL BGK BOLTZMANN SCHEME

The LBM governing equation is readily split into advection and non-advection phase. The non-advection phase or collision term specifically, can be directly solved without any difficulty. On the other hand, the advection phases can be solved using CIP method discussed in the previous section.

Two dimensional Boltzmann equations is expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + c_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\tau_f} \left( f - f^{eq} \right) + F \tag{28}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + c_x \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + c_y \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\tau_g} \left( g - g^{eq} \right) \tag{29}
\]

The evolution of the advection and collision phase can be rewritten separately as follow

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + c_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{30}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + c_x \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + c_y \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{31}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau_f} \left( f - f^{eq} \right) + F \tag{32}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau_g} \left( g - g^{eq} \right) \tag{33}
\]

Differentiating Eqs. (30) to (33) respect to \( x \) and \( y \) gives

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_x + c_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_x + c_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_x = 0 \tag{34}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_y + c_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_y + c_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_y = 0 \quad (35)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_x + c_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_x + c_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g_x = 0 \quad (36)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_y + c_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_y + c_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g_y = 0 \quad (37)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_x = -\frac{1}{\tau_f} \left( f_x - f_x^{eq} \right) + F_x \quad (38)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_y = -\frac{1}{\tau_f} \left( f_y - f_y^{eq} \right) + F_y \quad (39)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_x = -\frac{1}{\tau_g} \left( g_x - g_x^{eq} \right) \quad (40)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_y = -\frac{1}{\tau_g} \left( g_y - g_y^{eq} \right) \quad (41)
\]

where

\[
f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad f_y = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \quad g_x = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_y = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}.
\]

In CIP method, the profile between lattice points for density distribution function is interpolated using cubic polynomial as follow

\[
F_{i,j}(x,y) = \left[ (A1_{i,j} X + A2_{i,j} Y + A3_{i,j}) X + A4_{i,j} Y + \partial_x f_{i,j} \right] X \\
+ \left[ (A5_{i,j} Y + A6_{i,j} X + A7_{i,j}) Y + \partial_y f_{i,j} \right] Y + f_{i,j} \quad (42)
\]

where \( X = x - x_{i,j} \) and \( Y = y - y_{i,j} \). The coefficients in Eq. (42) are expressed as follow

\[
A1_{i,j} = \left[ -2d_i + \partial_x \left( f_{i+1,j} + f_{i,j} \right) \Delta x \right] / \Delta x^3 \quad (43)
\]
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\[ A_{2_{i,j}} = \left[ A_{8_{i,j}} - \partial_x d_j + \partial_x \Delta x \right] / \Delta x^2 \Delta y \]  
(44)

\[ A_{3_{i,j}} = \left[ 3d_i - \partial_x \left( f_{i+1,j} + 2f_{i,j} \right) \Delta x \right] / \Delta x^2 \]  
(45)

\[ A_{4_{i,j}} = \left[ -A_{8_{i,j}} + \partial_x d_j \Delta x + \partial_y d_i \Delta y \right] / \Delta x \Delta y \]  
(46)

\[ A_{5_{i,j}} = \left[ -2d_j + \partial_y \left( f_{i,j+1} + f_{i,j} \right) \Delta y \right] / \Delta y^3 \]  
(47)

\[ A_{6_{i,j}} = \left[ A_{8_{i,j}} - \partial_y d_i \Delta x \right] / \Delta x \Delta y \]  
(48)

\[ A_{7_{i,j}} = \left[ 3d_j - \partial_y \left( f_{i,j+1} + 2f_{i,j} \right) \Delta y \right] / \Delta y^2 \]  
(49)

\[ A_{8_{i,j}} = f_{i,j} - f_{i+1,j} - f_{i,j+1} + f_{i+1,j+1} \]  
(50)

where

\[ d_j = f_{i+1,j} - f_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad d_j = f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j} \]

The spatial derivatives are then calculated as

\[ F_{x(i,j)}(x,y) = (3A_{1_{i,j}} X + 2A_{2_{i,j}} Y + 2A_{3_{i,j}})X + (A_{4_{i,j}} + A_{6_{i,j}} Y) + f_{x,i,j} \]  
(51)

\[ F_{y(i,j)}(x,y) = (A_{2_{i,j}} X + A_{4_{i,j}})X + (3A_{5_{i,j}} Y + 2A_{6_{i,j}} X + 2A_{7_{i,j}})Y + f_{y,i,j} \]  
(52)

Finally, the advected profile for density distribution function is approximated as follows

\[ f_{i,j}^{n*} = F_{i,j} \left( x_{i,j} + \xi_x, y_{i,j} + \xi_y \right) \]  
(53)

\[ f_{x(i,j)}^{n*} = F_{x(i,j)} \left( x_{i,j} + \xi_x, y_{i,j} + \xi_y \right) \]  
(54)

\[ f_{y(i,j)}^{n*} = F_{y(i,j)} \left( x_{i,j} + \xi_x, y_{i,j} + \xi_y \right) \]  
(55)
where $\xi_x = -c_x \Delta t$ and $\xi_y = -c_y \Delta t$.

The same procedures apply for the internal energy distribution function.

The evolution of CIP-LBM consists of three steps. The initial value of $f, f_x, f_y$ and $g, g_x$ and $g_y$ are specified at each grid point $(i, j)$. Then the system evolves in the following steps;

1. Since the pre-advected value of $f, f_x, f_y$ and $g, g_x$ and $g_y$ are known on each grid, the cubic interpolation process can be completed according to Eqs. (42), (51) and (52).

2. After the interpolation, advection takes place and $f^{n+1}, f_x^{n+1}, f_y^{n+1}, g^{n+1}, g_x^{n+1}$ and $g_y^{n+1}$ on the grids are obtained using Eqs. (53) to (55).

3. The values of $f^{n+1}, f_x^{n+1}, f_y^{n+1}, g^{n+1}, g_x^{n+1}$ and $g_y^{n+1}$ at the grid intervals are computed again from Eqs. (42), (51) and (52). These interpolation and advection processes are repeated one after another until the convergence criterion is satisfied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In present study, the proposed CIP-thermal LBM was used to simulate the natural convection heat transfer in a two dimensional square cavity with the left wall is kept at hot temperature and right wall at cold temperature. Top and bottom walls of the cavity are being adiabatic. Temperature gradient exists in a fluid due to temperature difference. Consequently, the density difference induces a fluid motion that is convection.

Schematic diagram of the setup simulation is shows in Figure 3. No-slip boundary conditions (Frish et al., 1986) are imposed on all the faces of the square with height, $H$. The thermal conditions applied on the walls are depicted in Figure 3.
In the simulation, the Boussinesq approximation is applied to the buoyancy term. With this approximation, it is assumed that all fluid properties can be considered as constant in the body force term except for the temperature dependence of the density. The Boussinesq approximation equation can be written as

$$\rho G = \rho \beta g (T - T_m) j$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

where $\beta$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $g$ is the acceleration due to the gravity, $T_m$ is the average temperature and $j$ is the vertical direction opposite to that of gravity. Therefore, the external force in Eq. (1) can be written as

$$F = 3G(c_y - v) f_{i}^{eq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

The dynamical similarity depends on two dimensionless parameters; the Prandtl number, $Pr$ and the Rayleigh number, $Ra$;

$$Pr = \frac{v}{\chi}, Ra = \frac{g \beta \Delta T L^3}{\nu \chi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)
The convergence criterion for all the tested cases is

\[ f_i^{eq,n+1} - f_i^{eq,n} \leq 10^{-5} \]  

(59)

\[ g_i^{eq,n+1} - g_i^{eq,n} \leq 10^{-5} \]  

(60)

In the simulation, Pr is set to be 0.71 to simulate air as a working fluid. In this study, the number of grid is taken uniform in both \( x \)-and \( y \)-direction. The grid dependence study has been done for the simulation at \( Ra = 10^3 \) and shown in Table 1. As we can see from the table, as we increase the grid size, the calculated variables converge to a fixed value. Grid size of 51 x 51 is found to be sufficient compare to Azwadi et al. (Azwadi et al., 2007) where the grid size of 101 x 101 was needed to simulate the same phenomena at same Rayleigh number. For \( Ra = 10^4 \), grid size of 71 x 71 was used for the simulation while Azwadi et al. (Azwadi et al., 2007) applied grid size of 151 x 151 for the same simulation. These comparisons indicate that the grid size can be reduced about 50 percent by using CIP-LBM scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>31 x 31</th>
<th>51 x 51</th>
<th>61 x 61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( U_{max} )</td>
<td>3.598</td>
<td>3.632</td>
<td>3.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{max} )</td>
<td>3.701</td>
<td>3.694</td>
<td>3.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Nu_{ave} )</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>1.117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main characteristic of natural convection flow are shown in terms of streamlines and isotherms. Figure 4 illustrates the streamline patterns for all Rayleigh numbers simulated using CIP-LBM, excellent agreement were obtained with those from previous studies by Davis et al. (Davis et al., 1983).
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Figure 4: Streamline plots for $Ra = 10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$

Figure 5: Isotherms for $Ra = 10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$

At $Ra = 10^3$, a circular shaped vortex appears at the centre of the cavity and the isotherms are almost vertically parallel to the wall indicating that conduction mode heat transfer mechanism is dominant.

By increasing the Rayleigh number to $Ra = 10^4$, circular vortex is distorted and evolved as a horizontally oval shaped vortex. Meanwhile, the isotherms start to be horizontally parallel to the wall at the center of cavity. This is due to the convection mode heat transfer and buoyancy effect. At this Rayleigh number, heat transfer mechanisms in the cavity are influenced by both convection and conduction mode.

For the simulation at high Rayleigh number ($Ra = 10^5$), two vortices exist when the system achieved steady state condition. Isotherms almost horizontally parallel to the wall indicating that convection is the main heat transfer mode.

Table 2 shows the numerical results of the maximum horizontal velocity on the vertical mid-plane of the cavity with its location, the
maximum vertical velocity on the horizontal mid-plane of the cavity with its location and also the average Nusselt number throughout the cavity using the CIP-LBM scheme. The numerical results obtained by the original internal energy thermal lattice Boltzmann model proposed by He et al. (He et al., 1998), simplified thermal model by Azwadi et al. (Azwadi et al., 2007), Navier-Stokes solution by Davis et al. (Davis et al., 1983) and the thermal LBM model proposed by Peng et al. (Peng et al., 2003) are also included for comparison. As can be seen from the table, the prediction with CIP-LBM method gives excellent agreement with others numerical method even at low spatial resolution.

TABLE 2: Comparison of the present CIP-LBM scheme numerical results with others numerical methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>$10^3$</th>
<th>$10^4$</th>
<th>$10^5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_{max}$</td>
<td>He et al., (1998)</td>
<td>3.649</td>
<td>16.156</td>
<td>34.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azwadi et al., (2007)</td>
<td>3.646</td>
<td>16.154</td>
<td>35.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Davis et al., (1983)</td>
<td>3.634</td>
<td>16.182</td>
<td>34.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>3.632</td>
<td>16.304</td>
<td>43.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>He et al., (1998)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Azwadi et al., (2007)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Davis et al., (1983)</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peng et al., (2003)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$</td>
<td>He et al., (1998)</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>19.679</td>
<td>68.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Davis et al., (1983)</td>
<td>3.679</td>
<td>19.509</td>
<td>68.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>3.694</td>
<td>19.835</td>
<td>67.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>He et al., (1998)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Azwadi et al., (2007)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Davis et al., (1983)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peng et al., (2003)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu_{ave}$</td>
<td>He et al., (1998)</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>2.244</td>
<td>4.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azwadi et al., (2007)</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>4.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Davis et al., (1983)</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>2.234</td>
<td>4.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>2.201</td>
<td>4.249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dimensionless horizontal and vertical velocity profile given at the mid-height and mid-width of the cavity are shown in Figure 6 and 7. Both figures show that the location of maximum velocity shifted nearer to
the walls if the Rayleigh number is increased. The magnitude of maximum velocity component is also directly proportional to the Rayleigh number.

Figure 6: Horizontal velocity profile at the mid height of the cavity

Figure 7: Vertical velocity profile at the mid width of the cavity.
CONCLUSION

In this paper, we solved the LB advection equation using CIP method. For the verification purpose, we simulated the natural convection heat transfer in a square cavity at various Rayleigh number. Our study showed that the flow pattern, heat transfer mechanism and Nusselt number are significantly affected by the value of Rayleigh number.

The demonstrated results are excellent agreement with those obtained from previous studies. This demonstrated that the proposed CIP-LBM is found to be an efficient and accurate numerical method for solving thermal fluid flow problem. The extension to 3D CIPLBM will be considered in our future study.
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