Counting the Number of Weakly Connected Dominating Sets of Graphs
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ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph. A non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a weakly connected dominating set in $G$, if the subgraph obtained from $G$ by removing all edges each joining any two vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ is connected. In this paper, we consider some graphs and study the number of their weakly connected dominating sets.
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1. Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple connected graph. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in $G$. There are various domination numbers in the literature (Domke et al. 2005). For a detailed treatment of domination theory, the reader is referred to Haynes et al. (1998). A non-empty $S \subseteq V(G)$, $S$ is called a weakly connected dominating set (w.c.d.s.) of $G$, if the subgraph obtained from $G$ by removing all edges each joining any two vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ is connected. The weakly connected domination number $\gamma_{wc}(G)$, is defined to be the minimum integer $k$
A dominating set with cardinality \( \gamma_w(G) \) is called a \( \gamma_w \)-set. Let \( D_w(G,i) \) be the family of weakly connected dominating sets of a graph \( G \) with cardinality \( i \) and let \( d_w(G,i) = |D_w(G,i)| \). The number of dominating sets of a graph has been actively studied in recent years (Akbari et al. (2010), Alikhani et al. (2013), Alikhani and Peng (2014), Kotek et al. (2012)). In this paper, we shall count the number of weakly connected dominating sets of a graph \( G \).

For two graphs \( G = (V,E) \) and \( H = (W,F) \), the corona \( G \odot H \) is the graph arising from the disjoint union of \( G \) with \( |V| \) copies of \( H \), by adding edges between the \( i \)th vertex of \( G \) and all vertices of \( i \)th copy of \( H \) (Frucht and Harary (1970)). The join \( G + H \) of two graph \( G \) and \( H \) with disjoint vertex sets \( V \) and \( W \) and edge sets \( E \) and \( F \) is the graph union \( G \cup H \) together with all the edges joining \( V \) and \( W \).

In the next section, we consider specific graphs and study the number of their weakly connected dominating sets. In Section 3, we consider graphs with specific construction, denoted by \( G(m) \) and construct all their weakly connected dominating sets. As an example of these graphs, we study the structure of weakly connected dominating sets and the number of weakly connected dominating sets of paths. Finally, we study the number of weakly connected dominating sets of cycles in the last section.

As usual, we use \( \lceil x \rceil \), \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) for the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( x \) and the largest integer less than or equal to \( x \), respectively. Also we denote the complete graph, path and cycle of order \( n \) by \( K_n \), \( P_n \) and \( C_n \), respectively. Also \( K_{1,n} \) is the star graph with \( n + 1 \) vertices. In this article, we denote \( \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \) simply by \( [n] \).

2. Weakly connected dominating sets of specific graphs

In this section we consider specific graphs and study their weakly connected dominating sets with cardinality \( i \), for \( \gamma_w(G) \leq i \leq |V(G)| \). It is well-known and generally accepted that the problem of determining the domination number and dominating sets (and so weakly connected domination number and weakly connected dominating sets) of an arbitrary graph is difficult. Since this problem has been shown to be NP-complete (see Garey and Johnson (1979)), we shall...
consider in this section, specific graphs.

First we consider the complete graph $K_n$ and the star graphs $K_{1,n}$. The number of weakly connected dominating sets of $K_n$ and $K_{1,n}$ are easy to compute.

**Theorem 2.1.**

(i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, $d_w(K_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$.

(ii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $d_w(K_{1,n}, i) = \binom{n}{i-1}$.

(iii) $d_w(K_{1,n}, n) = n + 1$ and $d_w(K_{1,n}, n + 1) = 1$.

The following theorems give the weakly connected domination number of corona and join of two graphs:

**Theorem 2.2.** Sandueta and Canoy Jr. (2011) Let $G$ be a connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and $H$ an arbitrary graph. Then $\gamma_w(G \circ H) = |V(G)|$.

**Theorem 2.3.** Sandueta and Canoy Jr. (2011) For two graphs $G$ and $H$,

$$\gamma_w(G + H) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \gamma(G) = 1 \text{ or } \gamma(H) = 1; \\
2 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

The following theorem gives the number of w.c.d.s. of $G_1 + G_2$.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be connected graphs of order $n_1$ and $n_2$, respectively. Then, for two natural numbers $i_1, i_2$, and $i \geq \gamma_w(G_1 + G_2)$,

$$d_w(G_1 + G_2, i) = d_w(G_1, i) + d_w(G_2, i) + \sum_{i_1 + i_2 = i} \binom{n_1}{i_1} \binom{n_2}{i_2}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $i$ be a natural number $1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2$. We want to determine $d_w(G_1 + G_2, i)$. If $i_1$ and $i_2$ are two natural numbers such that $i_1 + i_2 = i$, then clearly, for every $D_1 \subseteq V(G_1)$ and $D_2 \subseteq V(G_2)$, such that $|D_j| = i_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a weakly connected dominating set of $G_1 + G_2$. Moreover, if $D \in D_w(G_1, i)$, then $D$ is a weakly connected dominating set for $G_1 + G_2$ of size $i$. The same is true for every $D \in D_w(G_2, i)$. Therefore we have the result.

The following corollary gives the relationship between the number of w.c.d.s. of wheels $W_n$ and cycles $C_n$:
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Corollary 2.1. For every \( n \geq 4 \), \( d_w(W_n, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases} \)

Proof. Since \( W_n = C_{n-1} + K_1 \), by Theorem 2.4 we have,

\[
d_w(W_n, i) = \begin{cases} d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ d_w(C_{n-1}, i) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Since for every \( n \geq 4 \), \( d_w(C_{n-1}, 1) = 0 \), we have the result. \( \square \)

3. Weakly connected dominating sets of \( G(m) \)

In this section, we shall study the weakly connected dominating sets (w.c.d.s.) of specific graphs denoted by \( G(m) \). As an example of graphs \( G(m) \), we construct w.c.d.s. of paths and count the number of w.c.d.s. of paths.

A path is a connected graph in which two vertices have degree one and the remaining vertices have degree two. Let \( P_n \) be the path with \( V(P_n) = [n] \) and \( E(P_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{n - 1, n\}\} \), see Figure 1.

![Figure 1: The path \( P_n \) with vertices labeled \([n]\).](image)

Let \( P_{m+1} \) be a path with vertices labeled by \( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_m \), for \( m \geq 0 \) and let \( v_0 \) be a specific vertex of a graph \( G \). Denote by \( G_{v_0}(m) \) a graph obtained from \( G \) by identifying the vertex \( v_0 \) of \( G \) with an end vertex \( y_0 \) of \( P_{m+1} \). It is clear that if the path is glued to a different vertex \( v_1 \) of \( G \), then the two graphs \( G_{v_1}(m) \) and \( G_{v_0}(m) \) may not be isomorphic. It depends on the vertex to which we glue the path. If throughout our discussion, this vertex is fixed, then we shall simply use the notation \( G(m) \) (if there is no likelihood of confusion).

We need the following lemma to obtain our main results in this section:

Lemma 3.1. (i) \( D_w(G(m), i) = \emptyset \) if and only if \( i > |V(G(m))| \) or \( i < \gamma_w(G(m)) \),

(ii) If \( e \in E(G) \), then \( \gamma_w(G - e) - 1 \leq \gamma_w(G) \leq \gamma_w(G - e) \), (see Lemańska and Raczek)
Proof. For any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \gamma_w(G(m - 1)) \leq \gamma_w(G(m)) \leq \gamma_w(G(m - 1)) + 1 \). (by (ii) above).

We need the following easy lemma and theorem:

**Lemma 3.2.** For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \gamma_w(P_n) = [\frac{n}{2}] \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that \( G(m) \) is the graph defined in this section. Then for every \( m \geq 0 \),

\[
\gamma_w(G(m)) = \begin{cases} 
\gamma_w(G) + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } G \text{ has a } \gamma \text{-set containing } y_0; \\
\gamma_w(G) + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** If \( y_0 \) is in the \( \gamma_w \)-set of \( G \), then to obtain the \( \gamma_w \)-set of \( G(m) \) it suffices to dominate the path with vertices \( \{y_2, \ldots, y_m\} \), otherwise we dominate the path with vertices \( \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m\} \). Therefore; by Lemma 3.2. the proof is complete. \( \square \)

To enumerate the weakly connected dominating set of \( G(m) \) with cardinality \( i \), no need to consider w.c.d.s. of \( G(m-3) \) with cardinality \( i - 1 \). Therefore, we only need to consider w.c.d.s. in \( G(m-1) \) and \( G(m-2) \) with cardinality \( i - 1 \). The families of these weakly connected dominating sets (w.c.d.s.) can be empty or otherwise. Thus, we have four cases of whether these two families are empty or not. We do not need to consider the case that \( D_w(G(m-1), i-1) = D_w(G(m-2), i-1) = \emptyset \), because it implies \( D_w(G(m), i) = \emptyset \). Also the case \( D_w(G(m-1), i-1) \neq \emptyset, D_w(G(m-2), i-1) = \emptyset \) does not exists. Thus, we only need to consider two cases. We consider these cases in Theorem 3.2 which construct the w.c.d.s. of \( G(m) \).

**Theorem 3.2.** (i) If \( D_w(G(m-1), i-1) = \emptyset \) and \( D_w(G(m-2), i-1) \neq \emptyset \), then \( D_w(G(m), i) = \left\{ \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X \mid X \in D_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\} \).

(ii) If \( D_w(G(m-2), i-1) \neq \emptyset \), then \( D_w(G(m), i) = \left\{ \{y_m\} \cup X_1, \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X_2 \mid X_1 \in D_w(G(m-1), i-1), X_2 \in D_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\} \).

**Proof.** (i) Obviously \( \left\{ \{y_{m-1}\} \cup X \mid X \in D_w(G(m-2), i-1) \right\} \subseteq D_w(G(m), i) \).

Now suppose that \( Y \in D_w(G(m), i) \). Then at least one of the vertices \( y_m \) or \( y_{m-1} \) is in \( Y \). If \( y_m \in Y \) then at least one of the vertices \( y_{m-1} \)
Theorem 3.3. For every $m \geq 2$,
\[ d_w(G(m), i) = d_w(G(m - 1), i - 1) + d_w(G(m - 2), i - 1). \]

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.2.

Since $P_n = P_1(n-1)$, we can apply the results for the graph $G(m)$ to obtain some properties of w.c.d.s. and their numbers for paths. We denote $D_w(P_n, i)$ simply by $P_n^i$.

For the construction of $P_n^i$, by Theorem 3.2 we only need to consider two families $P_{n-1}^{i-1}$ and $P_{n-2}^{i-1}$.

Theorem 3.4. For every $n \geq 3$ and $i \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$,

(i) If $P_{n-1}^{i-1} = \emptyset$ and $P_{n-2}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $P_n^i = \{ X \cup \{n-1\} | X \in P_{n-2}^{i-1} \}$,

(ii) If $P_{n-1}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{n-2}^{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, then
\[ P_n^i = \{ \{n\} \cup X_1, \{n-1\} \cup X_2 | X_1 \in P_{n-1}^{i-1}, X_2 \in P_{n-2}^{i-1} \}. \]

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.2.

The following theorem gives a recurrence for the number of w.c.d.s. of $P_n$.

Theorem 3.5. For every $n \geq 3$ and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq n$, $d_w(P_n, i) = d_w(P_{n-1}, i - 1) + d_w(P_{n-2}, i - 1)$, with initial values $d_w(P_1, 1) = 1$, $d_w(P_2, 1) = 2$ and $d_w(P_2, 2) = 1$. 
Table 1: \(d_w(P_n, j)\), the number of w.c.d.s. of \(P_n\) with cardinality \(j\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_1, j))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_2, j))</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_3, j))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_4, j))</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_5, j))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_6, j))</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_7, j))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_8, j))</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_9, j))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_w(P_{10}, j))</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proof. It follows from Theorem \([3.3]\).

Using Theorem \([3.5]\), we obtain \(d_w(P_n, j) = |P_n^j|\) for \(1 \leq n \leq 10\) in Table 1.

Here, we shall solve the recurrence relation with two variables for \(d_w(P_n, j)\) in Theorem \([3.5]\). Corresponding to this recurrence relation, we state an elementary combinatorial problem.

Suppose that we have \(n\) boxes in the row and \(j\) objects. We want to count the number of permutations of these items in boxes such that there is at most one object in each box and no two adjacent boxes can be empty. It is easy to see that the answer of this problem is \(\binom{j+1}{n-j}\). We can see that if \(a_{n,j}\) is the solution of this problem, then we have the following recurrence relation with these initial values \(a_{1,1} = 1, a_{2,1} = 2\) and \(a_{2,2} = 1\):

\[
a_{n,j} = a_{n-1, j-1} + a_{n-2, j-1}.
\]

So we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.6.** For every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq j \leq n\), \(d_w(P_n, j) = \binom{j+1}{n-j}\).

4. Weakly connected dominating sets of \(C_n\)

Let \(C_n, n \geq 3\), be the cycle with \(n\) vertices \(V(C_n) = [n]\) and \(E(C_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{n-1, n\}, \{n, 1\}\}\), see Figure 2. In this section, we consider the number of weakly dominating sets of cycle \(C_n\). Using Maple programme, we obtain \(d_w(C_n, j) = |C_n^j|\) for \(1 \leq n \leq 14\) in Table 2.

**Lemma 4.1.** For every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(\gamma_w(C_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\).
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Figure 2: The cycle $C_n$ with vertices labelled $[n]$.

Table 2: $d_w(C_n, j)$, the number of weakly connected dominating sets of $C_n$ with cardinality $j$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$j$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_1, j)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_2, j)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_3, j)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_4, j)$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_5, j)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_6, j)$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_7, j)$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_8, j)$</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_9, j)$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_{10}, j)$</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_{11}, j)$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_{12}, j)$</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_{13}, j)$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_w(C_{14}, j)$</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proof. We consider graph $C_n$ with the vertex set $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and the edge set $E(C_n) = \{(v_{i-1}, v_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } v_0 = v_n\}$. We have $\gamma_w(C_n) = 1$ for $n \leq 3$. Now assume $n \geq 4$ and use induction on $n$. Suppose that $S$ is a minimum weakly dominating set of $C_n$ and consider the vertex $v_i \in S$. Since $N(v_i) = \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$ and $C_n - \{v_i, v_{i-1}\}$ is a path with $n - 2$ vertices, by induction, $\gamma_w(C_n) = 1 + \gamma_w(P_{n-2}) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

The following theorem gives the number of w.c.d.s. of $C_n$ with cardinality $n - 3 \leq i \leq n$.

**Lemma 4.2.** (i) For every $n \geq 4$, and $n - 2 \leq i \leq n$, $d_w(C_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$.

(ii) For every $n \geq 6$, $d_w(C_n, n - 3) = \frac{(n+1)n(n-4)}{6}$. 
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Proof. (i) Since $d_w(C_n, n) = 1$ and $d_w(C_n, n - 1) = n$, so the result is true for $i \in \{n-1, n\}$. We prove $d_w(C_n, n - 2) = \binom{n}{n-2}$. Clearly for every $S \in D_w(C_{n-1}, n - 2)$ we have $S \in D_w(C_n, n - 2)$. Also if $S_1 \in D_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3)$, then $S_1 \cup \{n\} \in D_w(C_n, n - 2)$. On the other hand, if $S \in D_w(C_n, n - 2)$, and $n \in S$, then $S \setminus \{n\} \in D_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3)$. If $n \not\in S$, then $S \in D_w(C_n, n - 2)$. Therefore

$$d_w(C_n, n - 2) = d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3) + d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 2).$$

Now using induction, we have,

$$d_w(C_n, n - 2) = \binom{n}{n-2}.$$

(ii) First we prove that,

$$d_w(C_n, n - 3) = d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 4) + d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3) - 1. \quad (1)$$

Clearly every w.c.d.s. $S$ of $C_n$ with cardinality $n - 3$ is a w.c.d.s. of $C_{n-1}$ with cardinality $n - 3$, except for the following cases:

- the set $S$ contains vertices 1 and 2,
- the set $S$ contains vertices 1 and $n - 1$,
- the set $S$ contains vertices $n - 1$ and $n - 2$.

Also it is easy to see that if $S \in D_w(C_{n-1}, n - 4)$ or $S$ is any of the sets \{2, \ldots, n - 3\} and \{3, \ldots, n - 2\}, then $S \cup \{n\}$ is a w.c.d.s. of size $n - 3$ in cycle $C_n$. Consequently

$$d_w(C_n, n - 3) = d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 4) + 2 + d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3) - 3 = d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 4) + d_w(C_{n-1}, n - 3) - 1.$$

Using equation (1), we have,

$$d_w(C_n, n - 3) = \sum_{i=5}^{n} \frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2} - (n-4),$$

and by easy computation we have the result. \qed
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