



## On Primitive 11-Centralizer Groups of Odd Order

Mehdi Rezaei <sup>\*1</sup> and Zeinab Foruzanfar <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Buein Zahra Technical University, Iran*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Engineering Sciences, Buein Zahra Technical University, Iran*

*E-mail: mehdrezaei@gmail.com and m\_rezaei@bzte.ac.ir*  
*\*Corresponding author*

### ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $|Cent(G)|$  be the number of distinct centralizers of its elements.  $G$  is called  $n$ -centralizer if  $|Cent(G)| = n$  and is called primitive  $n$ -centralizer if  $|Cent(G)| = |Cent(\frac{G}{Z(G)})| = n$ . In this paper, we characterize all primitive 11-centralizer groups of odd order.

**Keywords:** Covering,  $n$ -centralizer group, Primitive  $n$ -centralizer group, Odd.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all groups are finite and all notations are standard. For example  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $n$ ,  $Z(G)$  denotes the center of a group  $G$ ,  $D_{2n}$  denotes the dihedral group of order  $2n$  and  $K \rtimes H$  denotes the semidirect product of  $K$  and  $H$  with normal subgroup  $K$ . A finite group  $G$  is said to be an AC-group if  $C_G(x)$  is abelian for all  $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ . It was shown in Baishya (2013) that if  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = pq$  or  $pqr$  where  $p, q, r$  are primes not necessarily distinct, then  $G$  is an AC-group. We recall that a group  $G$  is called capable if there exists a group  $H$  such that  $G \cong \frac{H}{Z(H)}$ . Given a group  $G$ , let  $Cent(G)$  be the set of centralizers of elements of  $G$ , i.e.,  $Cent(G) := \{C_G(x) | x \in G\}$ , where  $C_G(x)$  is the centralizer of the element  $x$  in  $G$ . A finite group  $G$  is called  $n$ -centralizer if  $|Cent(G)| = n$  and primitive  $n$ -centralizer if  $|Cent(G)| = |Cent(\frac{G}{Z(G)})| = n$ . Obviously a finite group  $G$  is 1-centralizer if and only if it is abelian. It was shown in Belcastro and Sherman (1994) that there is no finite  $n$ -centralizer group for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ . Also all finite  $n$ -centralizer groups for  $n \in \{4, 5\}$  were classified. As a simple result, we can see that there is no finite primitive 4-centralizer group. Moreover a finite group  $G$  is primitive 5-centralizer if and only if  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong S_3$ . In Ashrafi (2000a), all finite 6-centralizer groups were studied. Also it was shown in Ashrafi (2000b) that if  $G$  is primitive 6-centralizer, then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong A_4$ . In Abdollahi et al. (2007), all  $n$ -centralizer groups were characterized for  $n \in \{7, 8\}$  and it was shown that there is no finite primitive 8-centralizer group. In Ashrafi and Taeri (2006), the structure of finite primitive 7-centralizer groups were verified. Also in Foruzanfar and Mostaghim (2015), finite primitive 9-centralizer groups were classified and it was shown that if  $G$  is primitive 9-centralizer, then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong D_{14}$ ,  $T_{21} = \langle a, b | a^3 = b^7 = 1, ba = ab^2 \rangle$  or  $\langle a, b | a^6 = b^7 = 1, a^{-1}ba = b^3 \rangle$ . Finally in Foruzanfar and Mostaghim (2014), it was proved that there is no finite 10-centralizer group of odd order. The purpose of this paper is to classify all primitive 11-centralizer groups of odd order.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $G$  is a primitive 11-centralizer group of odd order, then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .*

## 2. Preliminary Results

A *cover* for a group  $G$  is a collection  $\mathcal{H}$  of proper subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G = \cup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ . A cover with  $n$  members is called  $n$ -cover, for a natural number  $n$ . Also it is called *irredundant* if no proper sub-collection is also a cover and is called a *partition* with *kernel*  $K$  if the intersection of pairwise

members of the cover is  $K$ . Neumann (1954) proved that for a group  $G$  with an irredundant  $n$ -cover, the index of the intersection of the cover in  $G$  is bounded by a function of  $n$  and Tomkinson (1987) improved that bound. Let  $f(n)$  be the largest index  $|G : D|$ , where  $G$  is a group with an irredundant  $n$ -cover whose intersection of its members is  $D$ . Scorza (1926) was the first who posed a question on finite covers. He settled the question which groups are the union of three proper subgroups and proved  $f(3) = 4$ . Furthermore, Greco (1957), Bryce et al. (1997), Abdollahi et al. (2005) and Abdollahi and Jafarian Amiri (2007) obtained the values of  $f(n)$ , for  $n = 4, 5, 6, 7$ , respectively and they proved that  $f(4) = 9$ ,  $f(5) = 16$ ,  $f(6) = 36$  and  $f(7) = 81$ . In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first present some lemmas and propositions that will be used in proof of it.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Theorem 6 of Belcastro and Sherman (1994)) Let  $p$  be a prime. If  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = p + 2$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** (Corollary 2.5 of Baishya (2013)) Let  $p \geq q$  be primes. If  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = pq$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = p + 2$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (Lemma 2.6 of Foruzanfar and Mostaghim (2015)) Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group and  $|G| = p^2q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct prime numbers.

- a) If  $p < q$ , then  $G = A_4$  or  $|Cent(G)| = q + 2$ .
- b) If  $p > q$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = p + 2$  or  $p^2 + 2$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (Lemma 2.1 of Baishya (2013)) Let  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = pqr$ , where  $p, q, r$  are primes not necessarily distinct. Then  $G$  is an AC-group.

**Lemma 2.4.** (Lemma 3.3 of Tomkinson (1987)) Let  $M$  be a proper subgroup of the finite group  $G$  and let  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  be subgroups of  $G$  with  $|G : H_i| = \beta_i$  and  $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_k$ . If  $G = M \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_k$ , then  $\beta_1 \leq k$ . Furthermore, if  $\beta_1 = k$ , then  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = k$  and  $H_i \cap H_j \leq M$  for any two distinct  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A non-empty subset  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  of a finite group  $G$  is called a set of pairwise non-commuting elements if  $x_i x_j \neq x_j x_i$  for all distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . A set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  is said to have maximal size if its cardinality is the largest one among all such sets.

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  having maximal size. Then

- (1)  $\{C_G(x_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$  is an irredundant  $r$ -cover with the intersection  $Z(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r C_G(x_i)$  (see Theorem 5.1 of Tomkinson (1987)).
- (2)  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq f(r)$  (see Corollary 5.2 of Tomkinson (1987)).
- (3)  $f(3) = 4$ ,  $f(4) = 9$ ,  $f(5) = 16$ ,  $f(6) = 36$  and  $f(7) = 81$  (see Scorza (1926)),

Greco (1957), Bryce et al. (1997), Abdollahi et al. (2005) and Abdollahi and Jafarian Amiri (2007), respectively).

(4) Let  $G$  be a group such that every proper centralizer in  $G$  is abelian. Then for all  $a, b \in G \setminus Z(G)$  either  $C_G(a) = C_G(b)$  or  $C_G(a) \cap C_G(b) = Z(G)$ .

If  $z \in (C_G(a) \cap C_G(b)) \setminus Z(G)$ , then  $C_G(z)$  contains both  $C_G(a)$  and  $C_G(b)$ , since  $C_G(a)$  and  $C_G(b)$  are abelian. Since  $z$  is not in  $Z(G)$ ,  $C_G(z) \leq C_G(a)$  and  $C_G(z) \leq C_G(b)$ . Thus  $C_G(z) = C_G(a) = C_G(b)$ . Hence, in such a group  $G$ ,  $\{C_G(x) \mid x \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$  forms a partition with kernel  $Z(G)$ . It follows that  $\{\frac{C_G(x)}{Z(G)} \mid x \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$  forms a partition whose kernel is the trivial subgroup (see also Proposition 1.2 of Ito (1953)).

**Lemma 2.5.** (Lemma 2.4 of Abdollahi et al. (2007)) Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  with maximal size. Then

- (1)  $r \geq 3$ .
- (2)  $r + 1 \leq |Cent(G)|$ .
- (3)  $r = 3$  if and only if  $|Cent(G)| = 4$ .
- (4)  $r = 4$  if and only if  $|Cent(G)| = 5$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** (Proposition 2.5 of Abdollahi et al. (2007)) Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  having maximal size.

(a) If  $|Cent(G)| < r + 4$ , then

(1) For each element  $x \in G$ ,  $C_G(x)$  is abelian if and only if  $C_G(x) = C_G(x_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .

(2) If  $C_G(x_i)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , then  $Z(G) = C_G(x_i) \cap C_G(x_j)$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus \{i\}$ . In particular, if  $|G : C_G(x_1)| \leq |G : C_G(x_2)| \leq 2$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = 4$ , and if  $|G : C_G(x_1)| \leq |G : C_G(x_2)| = 3$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = 5$ .

(b) If  $|Cent(G)| = r + 2$ , then there exists a proper non-abelian centralizer  $C_G(x)$  which contains  $C_G(x_{i_1})$ ,  $C_G(x_{i_2})$  and  $C_G(x_{i_3})$  for three distinct  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .

(c) If  $|Cent(G)| = r + 3$ , then there exists a proper non-abelian centralizer  $C_G(x)$  which contains  $C_G(x_{i_1})$  and  $C_G(x_{i_2})$  for two distinct  $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** (Lemma 2.6 of Abdollahi et al. (2007)) Let  $G$  be a finite non-abelian group. Then every proper centralizer of  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $|Cent(G)| = r + 1$ , where  $r$  is the maximal size of a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (Theorem 4.2 of Tomkinson (1987)) Suppose that  $G$  is covered by  $n$  abelian subgroups  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , then:

- (i) If  $G = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq (n - 1)^2$ .
- (ii) If  $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle < G$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 2(n - 2)^{\log_2(n-2)}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (Theorem 1 of Cohn (1994)) Suppose that  $H_n \leq H_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq H_1$  are proper subgroups of a group  $G$ . If  $G = \cup_{r=1}^n H_r$  assumed to be maximal, then  $|G| \leq \sum_{r=2}^n |H_r|$ , with equality if and only if (a)  $H_1 H_r = G$  ;  $r \neq 1$  and (b)  $H_r \cap H_s \subset H_1$  ;  $r \neq s$ .

### 3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $G$  be a finite primitive 11-centralizer group of odd order. Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  having maximal size. Then  $X_i = C_G(x_i), 1 \leq i \leq r$  is an irredundant  $r$ -cover with intersection  $Z(G)$ . Assume that  $|G : X_i| = \alpha_i$ , where  $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_r$ . Since  $G$  is a primitive 11-centralizer group, by Lemma 2.5, we have  $5 \leq r \leq 10$ . Then we first have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** With the above notations we have  $r \neq 5, 6$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that  $r \neq 5$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $r = 5$ . By Remark 2.1,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 16$ . Now Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\alpha_2 \leq 4$ . Since  $|G|$  is odd, we have  $\alpha_2 = 3$  and  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{9, 15\}$ . Therefore  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is abelian, which is not possible. It implies that  $r \neq 5$ .

Now we show that  $r \neq 6$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $r = 6$ . So by Remark 2.1,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 36$ . Now Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\alpha_2 \leq 5$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 3$ . Since 3 is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ , we have  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{9, 15, 21, 27, 33\}$ . Therefore  $G$  is an AC-group and by Lemma 2.6,  $|Cent(G)| = 7$ , a contradiction. Now suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 5$ . Since 5 is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ , we have  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{15, 25, 35\}$ . Therefore  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is abelian, which is not possible. It implies that  $r \neq 6$ . □

Now by Lemma 3.1, it is enough to investigate four cases that will be verified separately.

**Case 1:**  $r = 7$ . By Remark 2.1,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 81$ . Also Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\alpha_2 \leq 6$ . Since  $|G|$  is odd, then  $\alpha_2 = 3$  or 5. If  $\alpha_2 = 3$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{9, 15, 21, 27, 33, 39, 45, 51, 57, 63, 69, 75, 81\}$ . If  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{9, 15, 21, 27, 33, 39, 45, 51, 57, 63, 69, 75\}$ , then  $G$  is an AC-group and by Lemma 2.6,  $|Cent(G)| = 8$ , a contradiction. Now suppose that  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 81$ . By The GAP Group (2013), we conclude that there are four 11-centralizer groups of order 81 and the only capable group between them is  $(\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Therefore we have  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong$

$(\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Now suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 5$ . So  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{15, 25, 35, 45, 55, 65, 75\}$ . It implies that  $G$  is an AC-group and by Lemma 2.6,  $|Cent(G)| = 8$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2:**  $r = 8$ . In this case  $\alpha_2 \leq 7$ . If  $\alpha_2 = 3$ , then by Proposition 2.1,  $|Cent(G)| = 5$ , a contradiction. If  $\alpha_2 = 5$ , then  $\alpha_1 = 3$  or  $5$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 3$ , then  $G = X_1X_2$  and by Proposition 2.1,  $X_1 \cap X_2 = Z(G)$ . Hence,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 15$  which is not possible. If  $\alpha_1 = 5$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 25$ . Now since  $5$  is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ ,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{15, 25\}$ , which is again a contradiction. Finally, suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 7$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots = \alpha_8 = 7$  and so  $|G| = \sum_{i=2}^8 |X_i|$ . Also  $G = X_1X_2$  by Theorem 2.2 and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = Z(G)$  by Proposition 2.1. Since  $|G|$  is odd,  $\alpha_1 = 3, 5$  or  $7$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 3$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 21$ , a contradiction. If  $\alpha_1 = 5$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 35$ , which is not possible. If  $\alpha_1 = 7$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 49$  and  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is abelian, which is a contradiction.

**Case 3:**  $r = 9$ . In this case by Lemma 2.4,  $\alpha_2 \leq 8$ . If  $\alpha_2 = 3$ , by Proposition 2.1,  $|Cent(G)| = 5$ , a contradiction. If  $\alpha_2 = 5$ , then  $\alpha_1 = 3$  or  $5$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 3$ , then  $G = X_1X_2$  and by Proposition 2.1,  $X_1 \cap X_2 = Z(G)$ . Hence,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 15$ , which is not possible. If  $\alpha_1 = 5$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 25$ . Now since  $5$  is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ ,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{15, 25\}$ , which is again a contradiction. Finally, suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 7$ . Therefore  $\alpha_1 = 3, 5$  or  $7$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 3$ , then  $G = X_1X_2$  and since  $X_1 \cap X_2 = Z(G)$ , therefore  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 21$ , which is a contradiction. Similarly, If  $\alpha_1 = 5$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 35$ , a contradiction. Finally, if  $\alpha_1 = 7$ , then  $G = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.1,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 64$ . Since  $|G|$  is odd and  $G$  is non-abelian,  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{21, 35, 49, 63\}$ . It implies that  $G$  is an AC-group and by Lemma 2.6,  $|Cent(G)| = 10$ , a contradiction.

**Case 4:**  $r = 10$ . In this case by Lemma 2.6, every proper centralizer of  $G$  is abelian and by Lemma 2.4, we obtain that  $\alpha_2 \leq 9$ . If  $\alpha_2 = 3$ , then  $|Cent(G)| = 5$  by Proposition 2.1, a contradiction. Now suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 5$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 25$  and since  $5$  is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{15, 25\}$ . Therefore  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is abelian, which is not possible. If  $\alpha_2 = 7$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 49$  and since  $7$  is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{21, 35, 49\}$ . If  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 21$ , then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $|Cent(G)| = 9$  by Corollary 2.1, a contradiction. Now if  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{35, 49\}$ , then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is abelian, which is not possible. Finally suppose that  $\alpha_2 = 9$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \leq 81$  and since  $9$  is a divisor of  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}|$ , then  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| \in \{9, 27, 45, 63, 81\}$ . Suppose that  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 27$ . Since center of every group of order  $27$  is of order  $3$ , Lemma 2.1 implies that

$|Cent(\frac{G}{Z(G)})| = 5$ , a contradiction. If  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 45$ , then by Lemma 2.2,  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong A_4$  or  $|Cent(\frac{G}{Z(G)})| = 7$ , a contradiction. Now suppose that  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 63$ . Then by Lemma 2.2,  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong A_4$  or  $|Cent(\frac{G}{Z(G)})| = 9$ , which is not possible. Finally, if  $|\frac{G}{Z(G)}| = 81$ , then  $\frac{G}{Z(G)} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied all finite primitive 11-centralizer groups  $G$  of odd order. We have considered centralizers of a set of pairwise non-commuting elements of  $G$  of maximal size as an irredundant  $r$ -cover and have shown that the quotient group  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ . We propose as two open problems to verify the cases when  $G$  is a 11-centralizer group or  $G$  is a primitive 11-centralizer group of even order.

## References

- Abdollahi, A., Ataei, M. J., Jafarian Amiri, S. M., and Mohammadi Hassanabadi, A. (2005). Groups with a maximal irredundant 6-cover. *Communications in Algebra*, **33**(9):3225–3238.
- Abdollahi, A. and Jafarian Amiri, S. M. (2007). On groups with an irredundant 7-cover. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **209**(2):291–300.
- Abdollahi, A., Jafarian Amiri, S. M., and Mohammadi Hassanabadi, A. (2007). Groups with specific number of centralizers. *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, **33**(1):43–57.
- Ashrafi, A. (2000a). Counting the centralizers of some finite groups. *Korean Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **7**(1):115–124.
- Ashrafi, A. (2000b). On finite groups with a given number of centralizers. *Algebra Colloquium*, **7**(2):139–146.
- Ashrafi, A. and Taeri, B. (2006). On finite groups with exactly seven element centralizers. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, **22**(1-2):403–410.
- Baishya, S. J. (2013). On finite groups with specific number of centralizers. *International Electronic Journal of Algebra*, **13**:53–62.

- Belcastro, S. and Sherman, G. (1994). Counting centralizers in finite groups. *Mathematics Magazine*, **67**(5):366–374.
- Bryce, R. A., Fedri, V., and Serena, L. (1997). Covering groups with subgroups. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **55**(3):469–476.
- Cohn, J. H. E. (1994). On  $n$ -sum groups. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **75**:44–58.
- Foruzanfar, Z. and Mostaghim, Z. (2014). On 10-centralizer groups of odd order. *International Scholarly Research Notices: Algebra*, Article ID 607984, 4 pages.
- Foruzanfar, Z. and Mostaghim, Z. (2015). A note on the number of centralizers in finite groups. *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics*, **7**(1):1–9.
- Greco, D. (1957). Sui gruppi che sono somma di quattro o cinque sottogruppi. *Rendiconti dell'Accademia delle Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche. Serie IV*, **23**:49–59.
- Ito, N. (1953). On finite groups with given conjugate types. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, **6**:17–28.
- Neumann, B. H. (1954). Groups covered by finitely many cosets. *Publicationes Mathematicae-Debrecen*, **3**:227–242.
- Scorza, G. (1926). Gruppi che possono pensarsi come somma di tre sottogruppi. *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana. Series IX*, **5**(4):216–218.
- The GAP Group (2013). GAP Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4.6.4 (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- Tomkinson, M. J. (1987). Groups covered by finitely many cosets or subgroups. *Communications in Algebra*, **15**(4):845–859.