

## On Oscillation of Fourth Order Delay Differential Equations

Lafci Büyükkahraman, M.

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Uşak  
University, Uşak, Turkey*

*E-mail: mehtap.lafci@usak.edu.tr*

*Received: 2 January 2019*

*Accepted: 25 April 2020*

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of fourth order differential equations

$$(a(t) (x'''(t))^\gamma)' + b(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0$$

under the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{1/\gamma}(s)} ds < \infty$$

and also two examples are given to clarify our results.

**Keywords:** Oscillation and fourth order delay differential equation.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years the oscillation behavior of solutions of nonlinear differential equations has been studied in some papers and books. We refer the reader to the books (Agarwal et al. (2002), Agarwal et al. (2003), Agarwal et al. (2004), Agarwal et al. (2000), Kiguradze and Chanturia (1992), Ladde et al. (1987)) and the papers (Agarwal et al. (1997), Agarwal et al. (2001), Elabbasy and Moaaz (2016), Györi and Ladas (1991), Li et al. (2011b), Li and Rogovchenko (2014), Li and Rogovchenko (2017), Philos (1981), Zhang et al. (2011), Zhang et al. (2013)). In the last few years, there have been many papers which include the oscillatory theory of fourth order differential equations (Agarwal et al. (2006), Elabbasy et al. (2017), Grace et al. (2013), Grace et al. (2019), Kamo (2011), Kusano et al. (2011), Li et al. (2011a), Li et al. (2014a), Li et al. (2014b), Li and Rogovchenko (2014), Li et al. (2015), Moaaz et al. (2017), Tripathy (2013), Tripathy et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2012), Zhang et al. (2014), Zhang et al. (2015)).

This paper deals with the oscillation of the following nonlinear fourth order delay differential equation

$$(a(t)(x'''(t))^\gamma)' + b(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (1)$$

During this paper, we assume that the following conditions hold:

( $\delta_1$ )  $\gamma$  is a quotient of odd positive integers,

( $\delta_2$ )  $a \in C^1[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $a'(t) \geq 0$ ,  $a(t) > 0$ ,  $b, \tau \in C[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $b(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\tau(t) \leq t$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$ ,

( $\delta_3$ )  $\frac{f(x)}{x^\gamma} \geq m > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,

( $\delta_4$ )  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{1/\gamma}(s)} ds < \infty$ .

There is a function  $x(t) \in C^3[p_x, \infty)$ ,  $p_x \geq t_0$  such that  $a(t)(x'''(t))^\gamma \in C^1[p_x, \infty)$  and if it satisfies Eq.(1) on  $[p_x, \infty)$  then it is a solution of Eq.(1). We restrain ourselves to the analysis of the solutions  $x(t)$  of Eq.(1) with property  $\sup\{|x(t)| : t \geq q\} > 0$  for all  $q \geq p_x$ . A solution of Eq.(1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on  $[p_x, \infty)$ ; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Eq.(1) is said to be oscillatory if all solutions of this equation are oscillatory.

For using in proofs of the theorems, we need to give the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** (Agarwal et al. (2000), Lemma 2.2.3) Let  $y \in C^n([t_0, \infty)(0, \infty))$ . Assume that  $y^n(t)$  is of fixed sign and not identically zero on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and that there exists  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $y^{(n-1)}(t)y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ . If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) \neq 0$ , then, for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $t_k \in [t_1, \infty)$  such that

$$y(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} |y^{(n-1)}(t)| \text{ for } t \in [t_k, \infty).$$

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we obtain oscillation criteria for the solutions of Eq.(1). For convenience, we introduce the symbols

$$A(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{a^{1/\gamma}(s)} ds, \quad \phi'_+(t) = \max \{0, \phi'(t)\}, \quad \psi'_+(t) = \max \{0, \psi'(t)\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive three times continuously differentiable function such that  $a(t)(x'''(t))^\gamma$  is continuously differentiable and  $(a(t)(x'''(t))^\gamma)' \leq 0$  for large  $t$ , then one of the following cases holds for large  $t$ .

$$(\theta_1) \quad x'(t) > 0, \quad x''(t) > 0, \quad x'''(t) > 0, \quad x^{(iv)}(t) \leq 0.$$

$$(\theta_2) \quad x'(t) > 0, \quad x''(t) < 0, \quad x'''(t) > 0, \quad x^{(iv)}(t) \leq 0.$$

$$(\theta_3) \quad x'(t) < 0, \quad x''(t) > 0, \quad x'''(t) < 0.$$

$$(\theta_4) \quad x'(t) > 0, \quad x''(t) > 0, \quad x'''(t) < 0.$$

The proof is clear.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(\delta_1), (\delta_2), (\delta_3)$  and  $(\delta_4)$  hold. Suppose that there exist positive functions  $\phi, \psi \in C^1(t_0, \infty)$  such that

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \left[ mb(s) \left( \frac{\tau^3(s)}{s^3} \right)^\gamma \phi(s) - \frac{2^\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{a(s)(\phi'_+(s))^{\gamma+1}}{(k_1\phi(s)s^2)^\gamma} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[ \psi(s) \int_s^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^{\infty} b(\zeta) \left( \frac{\tau(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right)^{\gamma} d\zeta \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} dv - \frac{(\psi'_+(s))^2}{4\psi(s)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[ mb(s) \left( \int_s^{\infty} \int_u^{\infty} A(v) dv du \right)^{\gamma} - \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\int_s^{\infty} A(v) dv}{\int_s^{\infty} \int_u^{\infty} A(v) dv du} \right] ds = \infty \quad (4)$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[ mb(s) \left( \frac{k_2}{2} \tau^2(s) \right)^{\gamma} A^{\gamma}(s) - \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{1}{A(s)a^{1/\gamma}(s)} \right] ds = \infty \quad (5)$$

for some constants  $k_1, k_2 \in (0, 1)$ , then every solution of Eq.(1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(1) on the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x$  is eventually positive. From Lemma 2.1, there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(t)$  has one of four cases  $(\theta_1) - (\theta_4)$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . For  $(\theta_1)$ , by Kiguradze Lemma (Kiguradze and Chanturia (1992)), we find  $x(t) \geq \frac{t}{3}x'(t)$ , hence

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} \geq \frac{\tau^3(t)}{t^3}. \quad (6)$$

We define

$$z(t) = \phi(t) \frac{a(t)(x''')^{\gamma}(t)}{x^{\gamma}(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (7)$$

Then  $z(t) > 0$  and

$$z'(t) = \phi'(t) \frac{a(t)(x''')^{\gamma}(t)}{x^{\gamma}(t)} + \phi(t) \frac{(a(x''')^{\gamma})'(t)}{x^{\gamma}(t)} - \gamma \phi(t) \frac{x^{\gamma-1}(t)x'(t)a(t)(x''')^{\gamma}(t)}{x^{2\gamma}(t)}. \quad (8)$$

From Lemma 1.1, we get

$$x'(t) \geq \frac{k_1}{2} t^2 x'''(t) \quad (9)$$

for every  $k_1 \in (0, 1)$  and all sufficiently large  $t$ . Hence, by (8) and (9), we find

$$z'(t) \leq \phi'(t) \frac{a(t)(x''')^{\gamma}(t)}{x^{\gamma}(t)} + \phi(t) \frac{(a(x''')^{\gamma})'(t)}{x^{\gamma}(t)} - \frac{\gamma k_1}{2} t^2 \phi(t) \frac{x'''(t)a(t)(x''')^{\gamma}(t)}{x^{\gamma+1}(t)}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, because of (1), (6) and  $(\delta_3)$ , we obtain

$$z'(t) \leq \frac{\phi'_+(t)}{\phi(t)} z(t) - m\phi(t)b(t) \left( \frac{\tau^3(t)}{t^3} \right)^\gamma - \frac{\gamma k_1}{2} \frac{t^2}{(a(t)\phi(t))^{1/\gamma}} z^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(t). \quad (11)$$

With

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma k_1 t^2}{2(a(t)\phi(t))^{1/\gamma}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\phi'_+(t)}{\phi(t)}, \quad y = z(t)$$

by using the inequality

$$\beta y - \alpha y^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \leq \frac{\gamma^\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\beta^{\gamma+1}}{\alpha^\gamma}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \quad (12)$$

and from (11), we get

$$z'(t) \leq -mb(t) \left( \frac{\tau^3(t)}{t^3} \right)^\gamma \phi(t) + \frac{2^\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{a(t)(\phi'_+(t))^{\gamma+1}}{(k_1\phi(t)t^2)^\gamma},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{t_1}^t \left[ mb(s) \left( \frac{\tau^3(s)}{s^3} \right)^\gamma \phi(s) - \frac{2^\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{a(s)(\phi'_+(s))^{\gamma+1}}{(k_1\phi(s)s^2)^\gamma} \right] ds \leq z(t_1)$$

for every  $k_1 \in (0, 1)$  and all sufficiently large  $t$ . Therefore this contradicts (2).

Presume that  $(\theta_2)$  is satisfied. By integrating (1) from  $t$  to  $l$ , we have

$$a(l)(x''')^\gamma(l) - a(t)(x''')^\gamma(t) + \int_t^l b(s)f(x(\tau(s)))ds = 0.$$

From Kiguradze Lemma, we get  $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} \geq \frac{\tau(t)}{t}$ . By using  $(\delta_3)$  and  $x'(0) > 0$ ,

$$a(l)(x''')^\gamma(l) - a(t)(x''')^\gamma(t) + x^\gamma(t) \int_t^l mb(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \leq 0.$$

Letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we find the inequality

$$-a(t)(x''')^\gamma(t) + x^\gamma(t) \int_t^\infty mb(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \leq 0,$$

and so,

$$-x'''(t) + x(t) \left[ \frac{m}{a(t)} \int_t^\infty b(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \right]^{1/\gamma} \leq 0.$$

Taking the integral again from  $t$  to  $\infty$ , we get

$$x''(t) + x(t) \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^\infty b(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \right]^{1/\gamma} dv \leq 0. \tag{13}$$

Now, we define

$$\xi(t) = \psi(t) \frac{x'(t)}{x(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Then  $\xi(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  and differentiating the above equality and using (13), we obtain

$$\xi'(t) \leq -\psi(t) \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^\infty b(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \right]^{1/\gamma} dv + \frac{\psi'_+(t)}{\psi(t)} \xi(t) - \frac{\xi^2(t)}{\psi(t)}. \tag{14}$$

Thus, we have

$$\xi'(t) \leq -\psi(t) \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^\infty b(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \right]^{1/\gamma} dv + \frac{(\psi'_+(t))^2}{4\psi(t)}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\int_{t_1}^t \left[ \psi(s) \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^\infty q(\zeta) \left( \frac{\tau(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right)^\gamma d\zeta \right]^{1/\gamma} dv - \frac{(\psi'_+(s))^2}{4\psi(s)} \right] ds \leq \xi(t_1),$$

which contradicts (3).

Consider case  $(\theta_3)$  holds. Since  $a(x''')^\gamma$  is nonincreasing, we have

$$a^{1/\gamma}(s)x'''(s) \leq a^{1/\gamma}(t)x'''(t), \quad s \geq t \geq t_1.$$

Integrating this inequality from  $t$  to  $l$ , we get

$$x''(l) \leq x''(t) + a^{1/\gamma}(t)x'''(t) \int_t^l a^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds.$$

Letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that

$$x''(t) \geq -a^{1/\gamma}(t)x'''(t)A(t). \tag{15}$$

Taking the integral (15) from  $t$  to  $\infty$ , we obtain

$$-x'(t) \geq \int_t^\infty -a^{1/\gamma}(s)x'''(s)A(s) ds \geq -a^{1/\gamma}(t)x'''(t) \int_t^\infty A(s) ds. \tag{16}$$

By taking the integral (16) from  $t$  to  $\infty$ , we find

$$x(t) \geq - \int_t^\infty a^{1/\gamma}(u)x'''(u) \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu \geq -a^{1/\gamma}(t)x'''(t) \int_t^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu. \tag{17}$$

Next, we define

$$\nu(t) = \frac{a(t)(x''')^\gamma(t)}{x^\gamma(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{18}$$

Thus,  $\nu(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , by (1), (16) and  $(\delta_3)$ , we conclude that

$$\nu'(t) \leq -mb(t) \frac{x^\gamma(\tau(t))}{x^\gamma(t)} - \gamma \frac{a^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(t)(x''')^{\gamma+1}(t)}{x^{\gamma+1}(t)} \int_t^\infty A(s)ds. \tag{19}$$

Hence, by (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\nu'(t) \leq -mb(t) - \gamma \nu^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(t) \int_t^\infty A(s)ds. \tag{20}$$

From (17), we have

$$\nu(t) \left( \int_t^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu \right)^\gamma \geq -1. \tag{21}$$

Multiplying (20) by  $(\int_t^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu)^\gamma$  and integrating from  $t_1$  to  $t$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_t^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu \right)^\gamma \nu(t) - \left( \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu \right)^\gamma \nu(t_1) \\ & + \gamma \int_{t_1}^t \int_s^\infty A(v)dv \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^{\gamma-1} \nu(s) ds \\ & + \int_{t_1}^t mb(s) \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^\gamma ds \\ & + \gamma \int_{t_1}^t \nu^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(s) \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^\gamma \int_s^\infty A(v)dvds \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \int_s^\infty A(v)dv \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^{\gamma-1}, \\ \alpha &= \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^\gamma \int_s^\infty A(v)dv, \\ y &= -\nu(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$-\beta y + \alpha y \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma} \geq -\frac{\gamma^\gamma}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\beta^{\gamma+1}}{A^\gamma}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \tag{22}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^\infty A(v)dv \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^{\gamma-1} \nu(s) \\ & + \nu^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(s) \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^\gamma \int_s^\infty A(v)dv \\ & \geq -\frac{\gamma^\gamma}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\int_s^\infty A(v)dv}{\int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (21), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \left[ mb(s) \left( \int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu \right)^\gamma - \frac{\gamma^\gamma}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{\int_s^\infty A(v)dv}{\int_s^\infty \int_u^\infty A(v)dvdu} \right] ds \\ & \leq \left( \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_u^\infty A(s)dsdu \right)^\gamma \nu(t_1) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (4).

Assume that  $(\theta_4)$  holds. Because of the proof of case  $(\theta_3)$ , we know (15). From Lemma 1.1, we get

$$x(t) \geq \frac{k_2}{2} t^2 x''(t) \tag{23}$$

for every  $k_2 \in (0, 1)$  and all sufficiently large  $t$ . We define

$$\mu(t) = \frac{a(t)(x''')^\gamma(t)}{(x'')^\gamma(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{24}$$

Then  $\mu(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  and, by virtue of (23), (24) and  $(\delta_3)$ , we conclude that

$$\mu'(t) \leq -mb(t) \left( \frac{k_2}{2} \tau^2(t) \right)^\gamma - \gamma \frac{\mu^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(t)}{a^{1/\gamma}(t)}. \tag{25}$$

Multiplying this inequality by  $A^\gamma(t)$  and integrating from  $t_1$  to  $t$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & A^\gamma(t)\mu(t) - A^\gamma(t_1)\mu(t_1) + \gamma \int_{t_1}^t a^{-1/\gamma}(s)A^{\gamma-1}(s)\mu(s)ds \\ & \leq - \int_{t_1}^t mb(s) \left( \frac{k_2}{2} \tau^2(s) \right)^\gamma A^\gamma(s)ds \\ & \quad - \gamma \int_{t_1}^t \frac{\mu^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma}(s)}{a^{1/\gamma}(s)} A^\gamma(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$



By applying the same steps in case  $(\theta_3)$ , we obtain

$$\left[ \int_{t_1}^t mb(s) \left( \frac{k_2}{2} \tau^2(s) \right)^\gamma A^\gamma(s) - \frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{1}{A(s)a^{1/\gamma}(s)} \right] ds \leq A^\gamma(t_1)\mu(t_1) + 1.$$

Therefore this contradicts (5) and this completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.1.** Taking  $f(x(\tau(t))) = x^\gamma(\tau(t))$  in Eq.(1), Theorem 2.1 in Zhang et al. (2014) is obtained.

In the following theorem, we compare the oscillatory behavior of Eq.(1) with the second order differential equations which are given in Agarwal et al. (1997) and Swanson (1968).

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that  $(\delta_1), (\delta_2), (\delta_3)$  and  $(\delta_4)$  are satisfied. If the differential equations

$$\left( \frac{a(t)}{t^{2\gamma}} (x'(t))^\gamma \right)' + mb(t) \left( \frac{k_1 \tau^3(t)}{2t^3} \right)^\gamma x^\gamma(t) = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$x''(t) + x(t) \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{m}{a(v)} \int_v^\infty q(s) \left( \frac{\tau(s)}{s} \right)^\gamma ds \right]^{1/\gamma} dv = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$\left( \left( \int_t^\infty A(s) ds \right)^{-\gamma} (x'(t))^\gamma \right)' + mb(t)x^\gamma(t) = 0 \tag{28}$$

and

$$(a(t)(x'(t))^\gamma)' + mb(t) \left( \frac{k_2}{2} \tau^2(t) \right)^\gamma x^\gamma(t) = 0 \tag{29}$$

are oscillatory for some constants  $k_1, k_2 \in (0, 1)$ . Then every solution of Eq.(1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* As proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that (11), (14), (20) and (25). If we set  $\phi(t) = 1$  in (11), then we get

$$z'(t) + \frac{\gamma k_1}{2} \frac{t^2}{(a(t))^{1/\gamma}} z^{(\gamma+1)/\gamma} + mb(t) \left( \frac{\tau^3(t)}{t^3} \right)^\gamma \leq 0$$

for every constant  $k_1 \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, from Agarwal et al. (1997), we can see that the equation (26) is nonoscillatory for every constant  $k_1 \in (0, 1)$ . This is contradiction. Taking  $\psi(t) = 1$  in (14), we get that the equation (27) is nonoscillatory from Theorem 2.15 in Swanson (1968). The other cases are similarly demonstrated. □

**Remark 2.2.** Taking  $f(x(\tau(t))) = x^\gamma(\tau(t))$  in Eq.(1), Theorem 2.2 in Zhang et al. (2014) is obtained.

### 3. Examples

**Example 3.1.** As a special case of Eq.(1), we consider

$$(t^7 (x'''(t)))' + \eta t^3 e^{x(t)} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad (30)$$

where  $\eta > 0$  is a constant. If we choose  $\phi(t) = \psi(t) = 1$  and  $m = 1$ , then we find that (2) and (3) hold, (4) and (5) are satisfied for  $\eta > 120$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, every solution of Eq.(30) is oscillatory for  $\eta > 120$ .

**Example 3.2.** Consider the delay differential equation

$$(e^t (x'''(t)))' + e^t (x^3(t-1) + x(t-1)) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (31)$$

It is easy to see that every solution of Eq.(31) is oscillatory because of Theorem 2.1 for  $m = \phi(t) = \psi(t) = 1$ .

### 4. Conclusion

We have introduced a nonlinear fourth order delay differential equation (1). Eq.(1) is a generalization of the equation studied in Zhang et al. (2014). If it is  $f(x(\tau(t))) = x^\gamma(\tau(t))$  in Eq.(1), the equation (1.1) in Zhang et al. (2014) is gotten. Moreover, we have given two theorems on oscillation and when  $f(x(\tau(t))) = x^\gamma(\tau(t))$ , Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 about oscillation criteria for the solutions of Eq.(1) are as Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in Zhang et al. (2014). Finally, we have present two examples to illustrate our main results.

### References

- Agarwal, R. P., Bohner, M., and Li, W. T. (2004). *Nonoscillation and Oscillation: Theory for Functional Differential Equations (Pure & Applied Mathematics)*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Agarwal, R. P., Grace, S. R., and Manojlovic, J. V. (2006). Oscillation criteria for certain fourth order nonlinear functional differential equations. *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 44(1-2):163–187.
- Agarwal, R. P., Grace, S. R., and O'Regan, D. (2000). *Oscillation theory for difference and functional differential equations*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.

- Agarwal, R. P., Grace, S. R., and O'Regan, D. (2001). Oscillation criteria for certain  $n$ th order differential equations with deviating arguments. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 262(2):601–622.
- Agarwal, R. P., Grace, S. R., and O'Regan, D. (2002). *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Agarwal, R. P., Grace, S. R., and O'Regan, D. (2003). *Oscillation theory for second order dynamic equations*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Agarwal, R. P., Shieh, S. L., and Yeh, C. C. (1997). Oscillation criteria for second-order retarded differential equations. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling: An International Journal*, 26(4):1–11.
- Elabbasy, E. M. and Moaaz, O. (2016). On the oscillation of third order neutral differential equations. *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 2016:1–11.
- Elabbasy, E. M., Moaaz, O., and Bazighifan, O. (2017). Oscillation criteria for fourth-order nonlinear differential equations. *Int. J. Mod. Math. Sci*, 15(1):50–57.
- Grace, S., Bohner, M., and Liu, A. (2013). Oscillation criteria for fourth-order functional differential equations. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 63(6):1303–1320.
- Grace, S. R., Džurina, J., Jadlovská, I., and Li, T. (2019). On the oscillation of fourth-order delay differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2019(1):1–15.
- Győri, I. and Ladas, G. E. (1991). *Oscillation theory of delay differential equations: With applications*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Kamo, K-I and Usami, H. (2011). Nonlinear oscillations of fourth order quasilinear ordinary differential equations. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 132(3):207–222.
- Kiguradze, I. and Chanturia, T. (1992). *Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Kusano, T., Manojlović, J., and Tanigawa, T. (2011). Sharp oscillation criteria for a class of fourth order nonlinear differential equations. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1):249–274.
- Ladde, G. S., Lakshmikantham, V., and Zhang, B. G. (1987). *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*. New York: Marcel Dekker.

- Li, T., Baculíková, B., Džurina, J., and Zhang, C. (2014a). Oscillation of fourth-order neutral differential equations with p-laplacian like operators. *Boundary Value Problems*, 2014(1):56.
- Li, T. and Rogovchenko, Y. V. (2014). Asymptotic behavior of higher-order quasilinear neutral differential equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/395368>.
- Li, T. and Rogovchenko, Y. V. (2017). On asymptotic behavior of solutions to higher-order sublinear emden–fowler delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 67:53–59.
- Li, T., Rogovchenko, Y. V., and Zhang, C. (2015). Oscillation of fourth-order quasilinear differential equations. *Mathematica Bohemica*, 140(4):405–418.
- Li, T., Thandapani, E., and Tang, S. (2011a). Oscillation theorems for fourth-order delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl*, 3:190–199.
- Li, T., Zhang, C., Baculíková, B., and Džurina, J. (2011b). On the oscillation of third-order quasi-linear delay differential equations. *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications*, 48(2011):117–123.
- Li, T., Zhang, C., Thandapani, E., et al. (2014b). Asymptotic behavior of fourth-order neutral dynamic equations with noncanonical operators. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 18(4):1003–1019.
- Moaaz, O., Elabbasy, E. M., and Bazighifan, O. (2017). On the asymptotic behavior of fourth-order functional differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2017(261):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-017-1312-1>.
- Philos, C. G. (1981). A new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of delay differential equations. *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Mat*, 39(1):61–64.
- Swanson, C. A. (1968). *Comparison and Oscillation Theory of Linear Differential Equations*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Tripathy, A. (2013). Oscillation criteria for a class of nonlinear fourth order neutral differential equations. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 63(2):243–262.
- Tripathy, A., Panigrahi, S., and Basu, R. (2013). Oscillation results for fourth-order nonlinear neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 194:453–471.
- Zhang, C., Agarwal, R. P., Bohner, M., and Li, T. (2013). New results for oscillatory behavior of even-order half-linear delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 26(2):179–183.

- Zhang, C., Agarwal, R. P., Bohner, M., and Li, T. (2015). Oscillation of fourth-order delay dynamic equations. *Science China Mathematics*, 58(1):143–160.
- Zhang, C., Li, T., Agarwal, R. P., and Bohner, M. (2012). Oscillation results for fourth-order nonlinear dynamic equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25(12):2058–2065.
- Zhang, C., Li, T., and Saker, S. H. (2014). Oscillation of fourth-order delay differential equations. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 201(3):296–309.
- Zhang, C., Li, T., Sun, B., and Thandapani, E. (2011). On the oscillation of higher-order half-linear delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 24(9):1618–1621.