

Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences

Journal homepage: https://einspem.upm.edu.my/journal



A New Type of Weak Open Sets via Idealization in Bitopological Spaces

Sharma, D. J.¹, Kilicman, A.*², and Mishra, L. N.³

¹Central Institute of Technology, Kokrajhar, BTAD, Assam-783370, India ²Department of Mathematics and Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia ³Department of Mathematics, Mody University of Science and Technology, Laksmangarh, Sikar Road, Sikar, Rajastan 332311, India

> *E-mail: akilic@upm.edu.my* **Corresponding author*

> > Received: 12 April 2019 Accepted: 21 April 2021

Abstract

The main objective of this article is to define and study a kind of weak open sets called weakly bI-open sets with respect to an ideal in bitopological spaces. Additionally, we introduce some basic features of this notion.

Keywords: $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*bI*-open sets; $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-open sets; $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-closed sets.

1 Introduction and Preliminary

Kelly (1963) [9] established the theory of bitopological spaces (X, \Im_1, \Im_2) , to deal with two topologies \Im_1 and \Im_2 equipped with a non-empty set X. Since then many aspects of bitopology were investigated by several mathematician. Ideals and local functions with topologies were introduced by Kuratowski (1966) [10], Vaidyanathaswamy (1944) [13], Jankovic and Hamlett (1990) [7], Abd El-Monsef et al. (1992) [5] and many others. An ideal I is a family of all subsets X which will satisfy $(i) P \in I$ and $Q \subset P$ implies $Q \in I$ and $(ii) P \in I$ and $Q \in I$ implies $P \cup Q \in I$. We call (X, \Im_1, \Im_2, I) as an ideal bitopological space. The concept of b-open sets has established by Andrijevic (1996) [2] and with this concept together with an ideal, another open set called bI-open sets has been introduced by Caksu Guler and Aslim (2005) [6] in topological spaces. Further this notion has been studied by Akdag (2007) [1] and Ekici (2012) [4]. After that Sarma (2015) [12] has introduced bI-open sets in an ideal bitopological spaces. Several characterisations, properties and the connection between this and other corresponding notions are studied. Recently , Mustafa et al. (2013) [11] has defined weakly bI-open sets with the help of ideals in topological spaces and established several characterizations.

For more convenient, we can specify the interior (respectively, closure) of a subset P in an ideal bitopological spaces $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ due to the topology \mathfrak{F}_i by \mathfrak{F}_i -Int(P) (respectively, \mathfrak{F}_i -Cl(P)), for i, j = 1, 2 in which $i \neq j$.

Now, we recall some known definitions those will be used in this article.

If P(X) denote the power set of X in (X, \Im, I) , then the operator $(.)^* : P(X) \longrightarrow P(X)$ is defined as a local function (1966) of a subset Q due to the topology \Im and an ideal I which is defined as

$$Q^*(\Im,I)=\{y\in X:R\cap Q\notin I\;,R\in\Im(y)\},$$

for $Q \subset X$ and $\Im(y) = \{R \in \Im : y \in R\}$. Instead of $Q^*(\Im, I)$, we can simply write Q^* in such cases where there is no chance for confusion. For $\Im^*(I)$ finer than \Im , a Kuratowski closure operator is defined by $Cl^*(Q) = Q \cup Q^*$. Also, \Im_i - $Int^*(Q)$ denotes the interior of Q in $\Im_i^*(I)$ and \Im_i - $Int(Q_j^*)$ denotes the interior of Q_i^* due to the topology \Im_i , where

$$Q_j^* = \{ y \in X : R \cap Q \notin I \text{, for every } R \in \Im_j(y) \}.$$

Definition 1.1. Sarma(2015) [12] A subset P in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -bI-open if $P \subset \mathfrak{F}_i$ -Int $(\mathfrak{F}_j$ -Cl^{*}(P)) $\cup \mathfrak{F}_j$ -Cl^{*} (\mathfrak{F}_i) -Int(P)).

Definition 1.2. *Jelic* (1990) [8] A subset P in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2)$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -preopen if $P \subset \mathfrak{F}_i$ -Int $(\mathfrak{F}_j$ -Cl(P)).

2 (\Im_i, \Im_j) -Weakly *bI*-Open Sets

Definition 2.1. A subset P in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -weakly bI-open(in short, $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open) if $P \subset \mathfrak{F}_j$ - $Cl(\mathfrak{F}_i-Int(\mathfrak{F}_j-Cl^*(P))) \cup \mathfrak{F}_j$ - $Cl^*(\mathfrak{F}_i-Int(\mathfrak{F}_j-Cl(P)))$. Here we shall denote the family of all $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open sets in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X).

Remark 2.1. If *I* and *J* are any two ideals in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ in which $I \subset J$, then $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBJO $(X) \subset (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X).

Remark 2.2. Each $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -bI-open set in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open. The converse may not be true as discussed below.

Example 2.1. Suppose $X = \{r, s, t\}$, $\Im_1 = \{\emptyset, \{r\}, X\}$, $\Im_2 = \{\emptyset, \{r\}, \{r, s\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{r\}\}$. Then $\{r, t\}$ is (\Im_1, \Im_2) -WbI-open but not (\Im_1, \Im_2) -bI-open.

Remark 2.3. Intersection of any two $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open sets of $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ may not be $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open set as discussed below.

Example 2.2. Suppose $X = \{u, v, w, s\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{u\}, \{v\}, \{u, v\}, \{u, v, w\}, X\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_2 = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{w\}, \{s\}, \{w, s\}\}$. Then the sets $\{u, w\}$ and $\{v, w\}$ are $(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ -WbI-open sets but $\{u, w\} \cap \{v, w\} = \{w\}$ is not $(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2)$ -WbI-open set.

Theorem 2.1. Let $P, Q \subset X$. If P is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open and $Q \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_2$, then $P \cap Q$ is also $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open.

Proof. Let *P* be a $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$ -*WbI*-open set. So,

$$P \subset \mathfrak{F}_j - Cl(\mathfrak{F}_i - Int(\mathfrak{F}_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \mathfrak{F}_j - Cl^*(\mathfrak{F}_i - Int(\mathfrak{F}_j - Cl(P))).$$

Now $P \cap Q \subset \{\Im_j - Cl(\Im_j - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)))\} \cap Q.$

 $\subset \{\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int((P \cap Q) \cup (P_j^* \cap Q)))\} \cup \{(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)) \cap Q) \cup (\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)) \cap Q)_j^*\}$

$$= \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(P \cap Q))) \cup \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(P \cap Q))).$$

Hence $P \cap Q$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is an ideal bitopological space. If $P_{\delta} \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X) for each $\delta \in \wedge$, then $\bigcup \{P_{\delta} : \delta \in \wedge\} \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X) where \wedge an index set.

Proof. Let $P_{\delta} \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WBIO*(*X*). Then,

$$P_{\delta} \subset \mathfrak{F}_j - Cl(\mathfrak{F}_i - Int(\mathfrak{F}_j - Cl^*(P_{\delta}))) \cup \mathfrak{F}_j - Cl^*(\mathfrak{F}_i - Int(\mathfrak{F}_j - Cl(P_{\delta}))),$$

for each $\delta \in \wedge$.

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta} &\subset \bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} \{\Im_{j} - Cl(\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl^{*}(P_{\delta}))) \cup \Im_{j} - Cl^{*}(\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(P_{\delta})))\} \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} \{\Im_{j} - Cl(\Im_{i} - Int(P_{\delta} \cup (P_{\delta})_{j}^{*})) \\ &\cup \{(\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(P_{\delta}))) \cup (\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(P_{\delta})))_{j}^{*}\} \\ &\subset \{\Im_{j} - Cl(\Im_{i} - Int((\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta}) \cup (\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta})_{j}^{*}))\} \\ &\cup \{\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta})) \cup (\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta}))_{j}^{*})\} \\ &\subset \Im_{j} - Cl(\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl^{*}(\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta}))) \cup \Im_{j} - Cl^{*}(\Im_{i} - Int(\Im_{j} - Cl(\bigcup_{\delta \in \wedge} P_{\delta}))). \end{split}$$

Hence, $\bigcup_{\delta \in \Lambda} P_{\delta}$ is $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WbI*-open.

Lemma 2.1. Sarma(2015) [12] Let $P, Q \subset X$ in which $Q \subset P$. Then, $Q_i^*(\mathfrak{S}_i|_P, I|_P) = Q_i^*(\mathfrak{S}_i, I) \cap P$, for i = 1, 2.

For any subset P of $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$, $\mathfrak{F}_i|_P$ denote the relative topology on the subset P in which i = 1, 2. Also, $I|_P = \{P \cap I : I \in I\}$ be an ideal on P.

Theorem 2.3. If $P \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WBIO(X) in $(X, \mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2, I)$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}_2$, then

 $P \cap Q \in WBIO(Q, \mathfrak{S}_1|_Q, \mathfrak{S}_2|_Q, I|_Q).$

Proof. Since $Q \in \mathfrak{T}_1 \cap \mathfrak{T}_2$, therefore \mathfrak{T}_i -Int_Q(W) = \mathfrak{T}_i -Int(W), where W is a subset of Q and i = 1, 2. Then, by using Lemma 2.1, we get

 $\begin{array}{l} P \cap Q \subset \{\Im_j \text{-}Cl(\Im_i \text{-}Int(\Im_j \text{-}Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j \text{-}Cl^*(\Im_i \text{-}Int(\Im_j \text{-}Cl(P)))\} \cap Q \quad \subset \{((\Im_j \text{-}Cl(\Im_i \text{-}Int(P \cup P_j^*))) \cap Q) \cap Q\} \cup \{((\Im_i \text{-}Int(\Im_j \text{-}Cl(P))) \cap Q) \cup ((\Im_i \text{-}Int(\Im_j \text{-}Cl(P)))_j^* \cap Q)) \cap Q\} \end{array}$

 $\subset \{((\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(P \cup P_j^*))) \cap Q) \cap Q\} \cup \{((\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \cap Q) \cup ((\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \cap Q)\} \cup \{((\Im_j - Cl(P))) \cap Q\} \cup \{((\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{((\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap Q\} \cup \{((\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P)) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl(P))) \cap \{(\bigcap_j - Cl($

 $\subset \{((\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int((P \cap Q) \cup (P \cap Q)_j^*))) \cap Q)\} \cup \{((\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q))) \cap Q) \cup ((\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q)_j^*)) \cap Q)\} \cup \{((\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q)_j^*)) \cap Q\} \cup ((\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q)_j^*)) \cap Q\}$

 $\subset \{((\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int((P \cap Q) \cup (P \cap Q)_j^*))) \cap Q)\} \cup \{((\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q)))) \cup (\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q))_j^*))_{(\Im_1|Q,\Im_2|Q,I|Q)}\}$

$$= \{\Im_j - Cl_Q(\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q^*(P \cap Q)))\} \cup \{\Im_j - Cl_Q^*(\Im_i - Int_Q(\Im_j - Cl_Q(P \cap Q)))\}.$$

Hence $P \cap Q \in WBIO(Q, \mathfrak{S}_1|_Q, \mathfrak{S}_2|_Q, I|_Q)$.

Theorem 2.4. A subset $P \subset X$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X) iff for all $y \in X$, there exists $Q \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X) such that $y \in Q \subset P$.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2.5. If $P \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WBIO(X), then \mathfrak{S}_j -Cl(P) = \mathfrak{S}_j -Cl(\mathfrak{S}_i -Int(\mathfrak{S}_j -Cl(P))).

Proof. Since $P \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WBIO*(*X*), so we have

$$P \subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) = \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))).$$

Therefore, $P \subset \mathfrak{S}_j$ - $Cl(\mathfrak{S}_i$ - $Int(\mathfrak{S}_j$ -Cl(P))).

Now,

$$\begin{split} \Im_j - Cl(P) &\subset \quad \Im_j - Cl(\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)))) \\ &= \quad \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \\ &\subset \quad \Im_j - Cl(\Im_j - Cl(P)) = \Im_j - Cl(P). \end{split}$$

Hence \Im_j - $Cl(P) = \Im_j$ - $Cl(\Im_j$ - $Int(\Im_j$ -Cl(P))).

Theorem 2.6. Let $P, Q \subset X$. If $P \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X) and $P \subset Q \subset \mathfrak{F}_j$ -Cl*(P), then $Q \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X).

Proof. Since *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open set of X, therefore

$$P \subset \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(P))).$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} Q &\subset \Im_j - Cl^*(P) \quad \subset \quad \Im_j - Cl^*\{\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)))\} \\ &\subset \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P)))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P)))) \\ &= \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$Q \subset \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(Q))) \cup \mathfrak{S}_j - Cl^*(\mathfrak{S}_i - Int(\mathfrak{S}_j - Cl(Q)))$$

and consequently Q is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -WbI-open.

Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ be an ideal bitopological space such that $P \subset Y \subset X$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_2$. If $P \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(Y), then $P \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WBIO(X).

Proof. Since $P \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WBIO*(*Y*), therefore

$$\begin{split} P &\subset \Im_j - Cl_Y(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl_Y^*(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y(P))) \\ &= [\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y(P)))] \cap Y \\ &= [\Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y^*(P))) \cap Y] \cup [\Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int_Y(\Im_j - Cl_Y(P))) \cap Y] \\ &\subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl_Y^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl_Y(P))) \\ &= \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P) \cap Y)) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P) \cap Y)) \\ &\subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(P))) \end{split}$$

Hence, $P \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WBIO*(*X*).

Definition 2.2. Balasubramanian (1991) [3] A space $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2)$ is said to be pairwise extremally disconnected if \mathfrak{S}_i -closure of every \mathfrak{S}_i -open set in X is \mathfrak{S}_i -open.

Theorem 2.8. If P is $(\mathfrak{S}_i,\mathfrak{S}_i)$ -WbI-open set in $(X,\mathfrak{S}_1,\mathfrak{S}_2,I)$, where X is pairwise extremally disconnected then P is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$ -pre open.

Proof. Since X is pairwise extremally disconnected, therefore for $P \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ we have \mathfrak{F}_j - $Cl(P) \in \mathfrak{F}_i$. So \mathfrak{S}_i -*Int*(\mathfrak{S}_i -*Cl*(*P*)) $\in \mathfrak{S}_i$ and hence \mathfrak{S}_i -*Cl*(\mathfrak{S}_i -*Int*(\mathfrak{S}_i -*Cl*(*P*))) $\in \mathfrak{S}_i$.

Also, since *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open, therefore we have

$$P \subset \mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl^{*}(P))) \cup \mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl^{*}(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P)))$$

$$\subset \mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P))) \cup \mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P)))$$

$$= \mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P)))$$

$$\subset \mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P))) = \mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(\mathfrak{F}_{j}\text{-}Cl(P)).$$

Hence, *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -pre open.

Definition 2.3. A subset P in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$ -WbI-closed if $X \setminus P$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$ -WbI-open.

Theorem 2.9. A subset $P \subset X$ is $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-closed if \mathfrak{S}_j -Int $(\mathfrak{S}_i$ -Cl $(\mathfrak{S}_j$ -Int $^*(P))) \cap \mathfrak{S}_j$ -Int $(\mathfrak{S}_i$ - $Cl(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\text{-}Int(P))) \subset P.$

Proof. Follows from the definition.

Theorem 2.10. If $P \subset X$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed, then \mathfrak{F}_j -Int $(\mathfrak{F}_i$ -Cl $(\mathfrak{F}_j$ -Int $(P)) \subset P$.

Proof. Since *P* is $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_i)$ -*WbI*-closed, therefore $X \setminus P$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_i)$ -*WbI*-open. So, by definition we have

$$\begin{split} X \setminus P &\subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl^*(X \setminus P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl^*(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(X \setminus P))) \\ &\subset \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(X \setminus P))) \cup \Im_j - Cl(\Im_i - Int(\Im_j - Cl(X \setminus P))) \\ &= X \setminus \Im_j - Int(\Im_i - Cl(\Im_j - Int(P))). \end{split}$$

Hence, \Im_j -*Int*(\Im_i -*Cl*(\Im_j -*Int*(P)) $\subset P$.

Definition 2.4. A subset N of $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1, \mathfrak{I}_2, I)$ is called a $(\mathfrak{I}_i, \mathfrak{I}_j)$ -weakly bI-neighbourhood of a point y of *X* if there exists a $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_i)$ -*WbI*-open set *P* of *X* such that $y \in P \subset N$.

Theorem 2.11. A subset P of $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open if and only if P is a $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -weakly *bI-neighbourhood of each of its points in X.*

Proof. First, suppose that P be $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_i)$ -WbI-open. Now, for all $y \in P$, we have $y \in P \subset P$ and *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_i) -*WbI*-open. This implies that *P* is a (\Im_i, \Im_i) -weakly *bI*-neighbourhood for each points.

Conversely, let *P* be a (\Im_i, \Im_j) -weakly *bI*-neighbourhood for each points. Thus, for all $y \in P$, there exists a (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open set U_y of (X, \Im_1, \Im_2, I) such that $y \in U_y \subset P$. Then $P = \bigcup \{U_y : y \in P\}$. So, by Theorem 2.2 we have *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open in *X*.

Definition 2.5. A point y in $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -weakly bI-limit point of a subset P of X if for all $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open set V in X containing y such that $V \cap (P \setminus \{y\}) \neq \emptyset$.

The set of every $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ *-weakly bI-limit points of* P *is called* $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ *-weakly bI-derived set of* P *and we denote it by* $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ *-WbI-D*(P)*.*

Theorem 2.12. A subset $P \subset X$ is $(\mathfrak{T}_i, \mathfrak{T}_j)$ -WbI-closed iff it contains all of its $(\mathfrak{T}_i, \mathfrak{T}_j)$ -weakly bI-limit points .

Proof. Let *P* be $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-closed. Suppose if possible, *y* is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -weakly *bI*-limit point of *P* such that $y \in X \setminus P$. Then $X \setminus P$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-open in *X* containing *y*. So $P \cap (X \setminus P) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that *P* contains all of its (\Im_i, \Im_j) -weakly *bI*-limit points. Then, for all $y \in X \setminus P$, there exists a (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open set *V* containing *y* such that $P \cap V = \emptyset$. That is $y \in V \subset X \setminus P$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, $X \setminus P$ is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-open and hence *P* is (\Im_i, \Im_j) -*WbI*-closed set. \Box

Theorem 2.13. For any two subsets *P* and *Q* of $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$, the conditions stated below are equivalent:

(a) $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-D $(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

(b) If $P \subset Q$, then $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI- $D(P) \subset (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-D(Q).

(c)
$$(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$$
-WbI-D $(P \cap Q) \subset (\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-D $(P) \cap (\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-D (Q) .

(d) $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-D(P) \cup $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-D(Q) \subset $(\mathfrak{F}_i,\mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-D(P \cup Q).

Proof. Proofs are easy, so omitted.

Definition 2.6. Let *P* be a subset of $(X, \mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2, I)$. The $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-interior of *P* is the union of every $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open sets contained in *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-Int(*P*). The $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closure of *P* is the intersection of every $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -wbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -wbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -wbI-closed sets containing *P* and we denote it by $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -wbI-closed sets containing *P* and we de

Theorem 2.14. For a subset P of $(X, \mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2, I)$,

(a) $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-Int(P) is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open.

(b) $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-Cl(P) is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-closed.

(c) P is $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-open if and only if $P = (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Int(P).

(d) P is $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-closed if and only if $P = (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Cl(P).

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2.15. Let $P \subset X$. A point $y \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-Cl(P) if and only if $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, for all $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -WbI-open set Q of X containing y.

Proof. Let $y \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Cl*(*P*) and *Q* be $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-open such that $y \in Q$. Also, suppose that $P \cap Q = \emptyset$. Therefore $P \subset X \setminus Q$ and $X \setminus Q$ is $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-closed, which shows that

$$y \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j) - WbI - Cl(P) \subset (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j) - WbI - Cl(X \setminus Q) = X \setminus Q.$$

So, we have $y \in X \setminus Q$, a contradiction. Hence $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, let $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, for all $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-open set Q in X containing y.

Again, let $y \notin (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WbI-Cl*(*P*). So, there exists a $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WbI*-closed set *U* of *X* such that $P \subset U$ and $y \notin U$. Now we have $y \in X \setminus U$, in which $X \setminus U$ is $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WbI*-open and $(X \setminus U) \cap P = \emptyset$, a contradiction to our supposition. Hence, $y \in (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Cl*(*P*).

Theorem 2.16. For a subset P of $(X, \mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2, I)$,

(a) $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Cl $(X \setminus P) = X \setminus (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Int(P).

(b) $(\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Int $(X \setminus P) = X \setminus (\mathfrak{S}_i, \mathfrak{S}_j)$ -WbI-Cl(P).

Proof. (a) Suppose $y \notin (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Cl*($X \setminus P$). Therefore, there exists a $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-open set Q in X such that $y \in Q$ and $Q \cap (X \setminus P) = \emptyset$. Since $y \in Q$, so we have $y \notin X \setminus P$ and so $y \in P$. Thus, we get $y \in Q \subset P$ and so $y \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Int*(P). This shows that $y \notin X \setminus (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Int*(P). Consequently, $X \setminus (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Int*($P) \subset (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI*-*Cl*($X \setminus P$).

Conversely, suppose that $y \notin X \setminus (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Int*(*P*). Then we have $y \in (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Int*(*P*) and therefore there exists a $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-open set Q* in *X* containing *y* such that $y \in Q \subset P$. Thus, we get $Q \cap (X \setminus P) = \emptyset$ and $y \notin (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Cl*($X \setminus P$). Thus $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Cl*($X \setminus P$) $\subset X \setminus (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Int*(*P*). Hence $(\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Cl*($X \setminus P$) = $X \setminus (\mathfrak{F}_i, \mathfrak{F}_j)$ -*WbI-Int*(*P*).

(b) It is similar to the proof of (a).

3 Conclusion

The bitopological spaces was first introduced by Kelly in [9], then later was studied by many researchers. The ideals and local functions with topologies were also introduced and related open sets were defined and several related properties and characterization studied by several mathematicians. In this present work we extended the work in the literature by defining a new weak open sets and called them weakly bI-open sets with respect to an ideal in bitopological spaces. Further, we introduced and proved some basic related properties.

Conflicts of Interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this article.

References

- M. Akdag (2007). On *b-i*-open sets and *b-i*-continuous functions. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2007, Article ID: 75721, 13 pages. https://doi.org/10. 1155/2007/75721.
- [2] D. Andrijević (1996). On b-open sets. Matematički Vesnik, 205, 59-64.
- [3] G. Balasubramanian (1991). Extremally disconnected bitopological spaces. *Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society*, 83, 247–252.
- [4] E. Ekici (2012). On pre-*i*-open sets, semi-*i*-open sets and *b*-*i*-open sets in ideal topological spaces. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, *30*, 293–303.
- [5] A. M. E. El-Monsef, E. F. Lashien & A. A. Nasef (1992). On *i*-open sets and *i*-continuous functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 32(1), 21–30.
- [6] A. C. Guler & G. Aslim (2005). b-i-open sets and decomposition of continuity via idealization. In Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, volume 22 pp. 27–32. National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, Azerbaijan.
- [7] D. Janković & T. R. Hamlett (1990). New topologies from old via ideals. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 97(4), 295–310.
- [8] M. Jelic (1990). A decomposition of pairwise continuity. *Institute of Mathematics & Computer Sciences Journal.* (*Mathematics Series*), *3*, 25–29.
- [9] J. C. Kelly (1963). Bitopological spaces. In *Proceeding of London Mathematical Society*, volume 3 pp. 71–89. Wiley Online Library, New York, NY.
- [10] K. Kuratowski (1966). Topology. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- [11] J. M. Mustafa & S. Al Ghour (2013). Weakly *b-i*-open sets and weakly *b-i*-continuous functions. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 30, 23–32.
- [12] D. J. Sharma (2015). bi-open sets in ideal bitopological spaces. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 105(1), 7–18.
- [13] R. Vaidyanathaswamy (1944). The localisation theory in set topology. In *Proceeding of Indian Academy Sciences*, volume 20 (1) pp. 51–61. Springer, India.