#### Analysis of Algorithms

- 1. Asymptotic Notations
- 2. Analysis of simple algorithms

#### Learning outcomes

You should be able to:

■ Describe asymptotic notations: Ο, Ω , and Θ

■ Analyze the time complexity of algorithms

#### Introduction

#### $\boxtimes$  What is Algorithm?

- **a** clearly specified set of simple instructions to be followed to solve a problem
	- $\Box$  Takes a set of values, as input and
	- $\varnothing$  produces a value, or set of values, as output
- May be specified
	- $\varnothing$ In English
	- As a computer program
	- As a pseudo-code

 $\boxtimes$  Data structures

- Methods of organizing data
- $\boxtimes$  Program = algorithms + data structures

#### Introduction

#### Why need algorithm analysis ?

- writing a working program is not good enough
- The program may be inefficient!
- $\blacksquare$  If the program is run on a large data set, then the running time becomes an issue

#### Example: Selection Problem

- $\boxtimes$  Given a list of N numbers, determine the  $k$ th largest, where  $k \leq N$ .
- Algorithm 1:
	- (1) Read N numbers into an array
	- $(2)$  Sort the array in decreasing order by some simple algorithm
	- (3) Return the element in position k

#### Example: Selection Problem...

#### $\boxtimes$  Algorithm 2:

- (1) Read the first k elements into an array and sort them in decreasing order
- (2) Each remaining element is read one by one  $\blacktriangleright$ If smaller than the kth element, then it is ignored  $\varnothing$ Otherwise, it is placed in its correct spot in the array, bumping one element out of the array.
- (3) The element in the kth position is returned as the answer.

#### Example: Selection Problem...

 $\mathbb{Z}$  Which algorithm is better when  $\blacksquare$  N =100 and k = 100?  $\blacksquare$  N =100 and k = 1?  $\mathbb{Z}$  What happens when N = 1,000,000 and k = 500,000?

 $\boxtimes$  There exist better algorithms

## Algorithm Analysis

We only analyze *correct* algorithms

 $\boxtimes$  An algorithm is correct

 $\blacksquare$  If, for every input instance, it halts with the correct output  $\boxtimes$  Incorrect algorithms

- Might not halt at all on some input instances
- $\blacksquare$ Might halt with other than the desired answer
- $\boxtimes$  Analyzing an algorithm
	- $\blacksquare$ Predicting the resources that the algorithm requires
	- Resources include
		- **E**Memory
		- **<sup>←</sup>Communication bandwidth**
		- **E**Computational time (usually most important)

### Algorithm Analysis...

#### $\boxtimes$  Factors affecting the running time

- **E** computer
- compiler
- $\blacksquare$ algorithm used
- input to the algorithm
	- $\epsilon$ The content of the input affects the running time
	- $\triangle$  typically, the *input* size (number of items in the input) is the main consideration
		- $\bullet\,$  E.g. sorting problem  $\Rightarrow$  the number of items to be sorted
		- E.g. multiply two matrices together  $\Rightarrow$  the total number of elements in the two matrices
- $\boxtimes$  Machine model assumed
	- **I** Instructions are executed one after another, with no concurrent operations  $\Rightarrow$  Not parallel computers



 $\boxtimes$  Lines 1 and 4 count for one unit each  $\boxtimes$  Line 3: executed N times, each time four units  $\boxtimes$  Line 2: (1 for initialization, N+1 for all the tests, N for all the increments) total  $2N + 2$  $\boxtimes$  total cost: 6N + 4  $\Rightarrow$  O(N)

#### Worst- / average- / best-case

#### $\boxtimes$  Worst-case running time of an algorithm

- The longest running time for **any** input of size n
- An upper bound on the running time for any input
	- $\Rightarrow$  guarantee that the algorithm will never take longer
- Example: Sort a set of numbers in increasing order; and the data is in decreasing order
- $\blacksquare$  The worst case can occur fairly often
	- $\epsilon$ E.g. in searching a database for a particular piece of information

#### $\boxtimes$  Best-case running time

- $\blacksquare$  sort a set of numbers in increasing order; and the data is already in increasing order
- $\boxtimes$  Average-case running time
	- $\blacksquare$  May be difficult to define what "average" means

### Running-time of algorithms

- $\boxtimes$  Bounds are for the algorithms, rather than programs
	- $\blacksquare$  programs are just implementations of an algorithm, and almost always the details of the program do not affect the bounds

#### $\boxtimes$  Bounds are for algorithms, rather than problems

■ A problem can be solved with several algorithms, some are more efficient than others





- $\boxtimes$  The idea is to establish a relative order among functions for large <sup>n</sup>
- $\boxtimes$  ∃ c ,  $\mathsf{n}_0$  > 0 such that  $\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{N})\le\mathsf{c}$  g(N) when  $\mathsf{N}\ge\mathsf{n}_0$
- $\boxtimes$  f(N) grows no faster than g(N) for "large" N

### Asymptotic notation: Big-Oh  $\boxtimes$  f(N) = O(g(N))  $\boxtimes$  There are positive constants c and  $\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{0}}$  such  $\mid$ that  $f(N) \leq c g(N)$  when  $N \geq n_0$

 The growth rate of f(N) is *less than or equal to* the growth rate of g(N)  $\mathbb{Z}$ g(N) is an upper bound on f(N)

## Big-Oh: example

 $\mathbb{E}$  Let f(N) = 2N<sup>2</sup>. Then  $\blacksquare$  f(N) = O(N<sup>4</sup>)  $\blacksquare$  f(N) = O(N<sup>3</sup>)  $f(N) = O(N^2)$  (best answer, asymptotically tight)

 $\boxtimes$  O(N<sup>2</sup>): reads "order N-squared" or "Big-Oh N-squared"

## Big Oh: more examples

- $\boxtimes\,$  N<sup>2</sup> / 2 3N = O(N<sup>2</sup>)
- $\boxtimes$  1 + 4N = O(N)
- $\boxtimes$  7N<sup>2</sup> + 10N + 3 = O(N<sup>2</sup>) = O(N<sup>3</sup>)
- $\boxtimes$  log $_{10}$  N = log $_{2}$  N / log $_{2}$  10 = O(log $_{2}$  N) = O(log N)
- $\boxtimes$  sin N = O(1); 10 = O(1), 10<sup>10</sup> = O(1)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} i \leq N \cdot N = O(N^2)
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} i^2 \leq N \cdot N^2 = O(N^3)
$$

- $\boxtimes$  log N + N = O(N)
- $\boxtimes$  log $^{\sf k}$  N = O(N) for any constant k
- $\boxtimes$  N = O(2<sup>N</sup>), but 2<sup>N</sup> is not O(N)
- $\boxtimes$  2 $^{10\textsf{N}}$  is not O(2 $^{\textsf{N}}$ )

#### Math Review: logarithmic functions

 $x^a = b$  *iff*  $log_x b = a$  $\log_n b$  $\log ab = \log a + \log b$  $=$   $\log a +$ *a*  $b=\frac{100m}{m}$  $\log a^b = b\log a$ *m a* log  $\log_a b =$  $a^{\log n} = n^{\log a}$ =  $\frac{d\log_e x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x}$  $a = \log a$   $\neq \log a$  $\log^b a = (\log a)^b \neq \log a^b$ *dx x*

#### Some rules

When considering the growth rate of a function using Big-Oh

 $\boxtimes$  Ignore the lower order terms and the coefficients of the highest-order term

 $\boxtimes$  No need to specify the base of logarithm

 $\blacksquare$ Changing the base from one constant to another changes the value of the logarithm by only a constant factor

 $\boxtimes$  If T<sub>1</sub>(N) = O(f(N) and T<sub>2</sub>(N) = O(g(N)), then ■  $T_1(N)$  +  $T_2(N)$  = max(O(f(N)), O(g(N))),  $\blacksquare$  T<sub>1</sub>(N) \* T<sub>2</sub>(N) = O(f(N) \* g(N))

## Big-Omega



 $\boxtimes$   $\exists$   ${\bf c}$  ,  ${\sf n}_0$   $>$   ${\bf 0}$  such that f(N)  $\geq$  c g(N) when N  $\geq$   ${\sf n}_0$  $\boxtimes$  f(N) grows no slower than g(N) for "large" N

## Big-Omega

 $\boxtimes$  f(N) =  $\Omega(g(N))$  $\boxtimes$ There are positive constants c and  $\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{0}}$  such that  $f(N) \geq c g(N)$  when  $N \geq n_0$ 

**■ The growth rate of f(N) is** *greater than or equal to* the growth rate of g(N).

#### Big-Omega: examples

 $\mathbb{E}$  Let f(N) = 2N<sup>2</sup>. Then  $\blacksquare$  f(N) =  $\Omega(\mathsf{N})$ **f**  $f(N) = \Omega(N^2)$  (best answer)

## $f(N) = \Theta(g(N))$



 $\boxtimes$  the growth rate of f(N) *is the same as* the growth rate of  $g(N)$ 

## Big-Theta

 $\boxtimes$  f(N) =  $\Theta$ (g(N)) iff  $f(N) = O(g(N))$  and  $f(N) = \Omega(g(N))$  The growth rate of f(N) *equals* the growth rate of g(N)  $\mathbb{Z}$  Example: Let  $f(N)=N^2$ ,  $g(N)=2N^2$ ■ Since f(N) =  $O(g(N))$  and f(N) =  $\Omega(g(N))$ , thus  $f(N) = \Theta(g(N))$ .  $⊠$  **Big-Theta means the bound is the tightest** possible.

#### Some rules

#### $\mathbb{I} \boxtimes$  If T(N) is a polynomial of degree k, then  $T(N) = \Theta(N^k)$ .

 $\mathbb{Z}$  For logarithmic functions,  $\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{log}_{\mathsf{m}}\,\mathsf{N}) = \Theta(\mathsf{log}\,\mathsf{N}).$ 

Analysis of Algorithms / Slide 25

## Typical Growth Rates

Function	Name
c	Constant
log N	Logarithmic
$\log^2 N$	Log-squared
N	Linear
$N \log N$	
$N^2$	Quadratic
$N^3$	Cubic
2 <sup>N</sup>	Exponential

Figure 2.1 Typical growth rates



#### Growth rates ...

#### $\boxtimes$  Doubling the input size  $\blacksquare$  f(N) = c  $\implies$  f(2N) = f(N) = c ■ f(N) = log N  $\Rightarrow$  f(2N) = f(N) + log 2  $\blacksquare$  f(N) = N  $\implies$  f(2N) = 2 f(N)  $\blacksquare$  f(N) = N<sup>2</sup>  $\implies$  f(2N) = 4 f(N) ■  $f(N) = N^3$   $\Rightarrow f(2N) = 8$   $f(N)$  $\blacksquare$   $f(N) = 2^N$   $\Longrightarrow$   $f(2N) = f^2(N)$

#### $\boxtimes$  Advantages of algorithm analysis

- To eliminate bad algorithms early
- **n** pinpoints the bottlenecks, which are worth coding carefully

# Using L'Hopital's rule

- $\boxtimes \,$  L' Hopital's rule
	- If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(N) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f'(N)}{g'(N)}$  $\lim f(N)$  $\mathop{\lim}\limits_{\to \infty} g\left( N\right) =\infty$ lim *g*(*N*)
- $\boxtimes$  Determine the relative growth rates (using L' Hopital's rule if necessary)
	- L.

$$
\blacksquare \text{ compute } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)}
$$

- $\blacksquare$  if 0: if  $f(N) = O(g(N))$  and  $f(N)$  is not  $\Theta(g(N))$
- **■** if constant  $\neq$  0: f(N) =  $\Theta(g(N))$
- $\blacksquare$  if ∞: f(N) =  $\Omega(f(N))$  and f(N) is not  $\Theta(g(N))$
- **If** limit oscillates: no relation

#### General Rules

- **<u>⊠For loops</u>** 
	- **Example 2 at most the running time of the statements inside** the for-loop (including tests) times the number of iterations.

 $⊠$  **Nested for loops** 

$$
\begin{array}{l} \text{for } (i=0;i
$$

**no.** the running time of the statement multiplied by the product of the sizes of all the for-loops.

 $\blacksquare$   $O(N^2)$ 

#### General rules (cont'd)

#### $\boxtimes$  Consecutive statements

$$
\frac{\text{for (i=0;i
$$

П These just add

 $\blacksquare$  O(N) + O(N<sup>2</sup>) = O(N<sup>2</sup>)

 $\boxtimes$  If S1

#### Else S2

**never more than the running time of the test plus the larger of** the running times of S1 and S2.

#### Another Example

 Maximum Subsequence Sum Problem  $\boxtimes$  Given (possibly negative) integers  $A_1, A_2, \, ....,$ A $A_n$ , find the maximum value of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  $A^{\vphantom{\dagger}}_k$ 

■ For convenience, the maximum subsequence sum is 0 if all the integers are negative

 $\boxtimes$  E.g. for input  $-2$ , 11, -4, 13, -5, -2  $\blacksquare$  Answer: 20 (A $_2$  through A $_4$ )

## Algorithm 1: Simple

#### $\boxtimes$ Exhaustively tries all possibilities (brute force)

```
int maxSubSum1 (const vector-dnt> &a)
ł
     int maxSum=0;
     for (int i=0;i<a.size();i++)<br>for (int j=i;j<a.size();j++)
                int thisSum=0;
                for (int k=i;k<=j;k++)<br>thisSum += alkl:
                if (thisSum > maxSum)
                      maxSum = thisSum;
     return maxSum:
```
 $\boxtimes$  O(N<sup>3</sup>)

## Algorithm  $2$ : Divide-and-conquer

#### $\boxtimes$  Divide-and-conquer

- $\blacksquare$  split the problem into two roughly equal subproblems, which are then solved **recursively**
- $\blacksquare$ patch together the two solutions of the subproblems to arrive at a solution for the whole problem



- The maximum subsequence sum can be
	- Entirely in the left half of the input
	- Entirely in the right half of the input
	- It crosses the middle and is in both halves

## Algorithm  $2$  (cont'd)

 $\boxtimes$  The first two cases can be solved recursively

#### $\boxtimes$  For the last case:

- $\blacksquare$  find the largest sum in the first half that includes the last element in the first half
- the largest sum in the second half that includes the first element in the second half
- add these two sums together



## Algorithm  $2 \ldots$

// Input :  $A[i \dots j]$  with  $i \leq j$ // Output : the MCS of  $A[i \dots j]$ 



### $\overline{\text{Algorithm 2 (cont' d)}}$

**EXA** Recurrence equation

*T*(1) <sup>=</sup>1 *N* $T(N) = 2T(\frac{N}{2}) + 2$ 

■ 2 T(N/2): two subproblems, each of size N/2  $\blacksquare$  N: for "patching" two solutions to find solution to whole problem

### Algorithm  $2$  (cont'd)

 $\boxtimes$  $\boxtimes$  Solving the recurrence:  $T(N) = 2T(\frac{N}{2}) + N$ 



$$
=2^k T(\frac{N}{2^k}) + kN
$$

 $\boxtimes$  With k=log N (i.e. 2 $^{\mathsf{k}}$  = N), we have  $T(N) = N T(1) + N \log N$ 

#### $=N\log N+N$

 $\boxtimes$  Thus, the running time is O(N log N)

¾ faster than Algorithm 1 for large data sets

## Question

???